arXiv:1012.5983v2 [math.QA] 24 Jul 2012

CELLULAR AND QUASIHEREDITARY STRUCTURES OF GENERALIZED QUANTIZED SCHUR ALGEBRAS

STEPHEN DOTY AND ANTHONY GIAQUINTO

Abstract. We give a new proof that generalized quantized Schur algebras are cellular and their specializations (with respect to the Lusztig integral form) are quasihereditary over any field of characteristic zero. The proof is independent of the theory of quantum groups, and in particular does not depend on the existence of the canonical basis, in contrast with the earlier proof.

Introduction

The main objective of this paper is to study generalized quantized Schur algebras (generalized q-Schur algebras for short) by means of their idempotent presentation (see [16, 17, 15]). Earlier papers studied these algebras via a descent from the associated quantized enveloping algebra. This paper adopts the opposite viewpoint, taking the presentations as the starting point. The authors wanted to see how much of the structure of these algebras could be derived solely from their defining presentation. Cellularity (see [27]) and quasihereditariness (see [5, 10]) are important structural properties of finite dimensional algebras much studied in recent years, especially for many finite dimensional algebras that arise in Lie theory. In [15] the cellularity of Lusztig's integral form of a generalized q-Schur algebra and its quasihereditariness in specializations over a field were established by means of Lusztig's refined Peter–Weyl theorem (see Chapter 29 of [44]), which depends on properties of the canonical basis. The approach given here is much more elementary, and is independent of the theory of quantum groups, although we do use Weyl's theorem for semisimple Lie algebras at one point (in the proof of Theorem 6.2). Our approach is in some sense similar to that of Dipper and James [8], who used the centralizer algebra description of the q-Schur algebra as the starting point for their investigation.

Our main results are Theorems 6.9 and 7.8, which establish the cellularity of the rational and integral forms respectively, and Theorem 7.13, which establishes the quasihereditariness of specializations in fields of characteristic zero and characterizes the simple representations as heads of the corresponding cell modules. Assuming that bases of the cell modules have been determined, a cellular basis of the algebra is obtained by gluing each basis and its dual along the idempotent indexed by the highest weight. The cellular bases so obtained are new, even in Type A.

The generalized Schur algebras $S(\pi)$ were introduced by Donkin [11, 12, 13], extending from type A to arbitrary type some of the results of Green's monograph [28]. About the same time, the q-Schur algebras in type A were introduced by Dipper and James [8, 9] (see also Jimbo [36]). Their properties were intensively studied in the nineties; see e.g. [32, 25, 20, 21, 22, 23, 45, 30, 31, 6, 26, 14, 46] and they continue to attract attention [35, 7, 4]. The generalized q-Schur algebras $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ of this paper are q-deformations of Donkin's generalized Schur algebras; they include the q-Schur algebras in type A as a special case. In general, $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ depends on a root datum, a saturated set π of dominant weights, and a parameter $q \neq 0$ in the ground field. In this generality, their rational form $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ first appeared in §29.2 of Lusztig's book [44], where they are denoted by $\dot{\mathbf{U}}/\dot{\mathbf{U}}[P]$. The presentation of the rational form $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ which forms the starting point for this paper was obtained in [15] in general, extending earlier results in [17] for type A. The specializations $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ were first studied in [15]; see also [24, 18, 19, 47].

One source of inspiration for this paper was the classic work of Beĭlinson, Lusztig, and MacPherson [1] which gave a geometric construction of the q-Schur algebras of type A and used them to study the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. The results of this paper provide a way to study representations of an arbitrary quantized enveloping algebra (of finite type) through the family $\{\mathbf{S}_q(\pi) \colon \pi \subset X^+ \text{ finite saturated}\}$ of finite dimensional algebras associated with its underlying root datum. Since the tensor product of a left $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$-module V with a left $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi')$-module V' (where π, π' are finite saturated subsets of X^+) is naturally a left $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi \vee \pi')$-module, where $\pi \vee \pi'$ is the smallest saturated subset of X^+ containing $\pi + \pi'$, nothing is lost by this transition. Another source of inspiration was provided by the closely related papers of J.A. Green [29] and Woodcock [48], which established the first cellular basis (the codeterminant basis) for the Schur algebra of type A and gave a combinatorial straightening algorithm for rewriting an arbitrary codeterminant in terms of standard ones. The results of this paper rely on a similar, but more general, straightening procedure which is developed in Section 2. This is new even in type A.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 defines the rational form (over the field $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ of rational functions in an indeteminate v) of the generalized q-Schur algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$, shows that it has a "triangular" decomposition, and that it is finite dimensional. Section 2 establishes straightening procedures that lead to a refined triangular decomposition. In Section 3 we develop a highest weight theory for finite dimensional representations of $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$. After examining the rank one case in detail in Section 4, we immediately apply it in Section 5 to derive the quantum Serre relations from our defining presentation. This prepares the way for the study of higher ranks, which is carried out in Sections 6 and 7.

Section 6.10 provides an algorithm for computing a cellular basis for $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$. For each $\lambda \in \pi$, one chooses a basis $\{b1_\lambda \colon b \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$ of the left ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda$, where π_λ is the set of dominant weights dominated by λ. Then $\{b'1_\lambda b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$ is a basis for the two-sided ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$, and this basis can be lifted uniquely to $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ by means of a certain isomorphism. Then

$$\bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\pi} \{b'1_\lambda b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$$

is a cellular basis of the rational form $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. There are no choices involved except for the choice of basis of each left ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda$. Section 7.11 discusses an integral version: If $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ and one chooses an A-basis $\{b1_\lambda \colon b \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda\}$ of each left ideal ${}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda$ then the resulting union

$$\bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\pi} \{b'1_\lambda b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda\}$$

is a cellular A-basis of the integral form ${}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$; this leads to a corresponding cellular basis of $\mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ by change of scalars.

While our main results are obtained for the quantum case, the methods also apply to the “classical” case; i.e., the original generalized Schur algebras $S(\pi)$ as defined by Donkin. This is briefly sketched in Section 8. In that case, the main results are valid over fields of arbitrary characteristic.

We thank R. Rouquier for pointing out that the quantum Serre relations follow from the defining relations. Rouquier directed our attention to Corollary 4.3.2 in [3] and Proposition B.1 in [39]. However, we do not need those results — in fact Section 5 of this paper contains a new proof of them. The authors would also like to thank the referee of a previous version of the paper for useful suggestions which led to substantial improvements in the exposition.

1. The generalized q-Schur algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$

We define (see 1.6) the rational form of the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ by generators and relations, over the ground field $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ where v is an indeterminate. The definition depends on a chosen root datum and a saturated set π of dominant weights.

1.1. A Cartan datum $(I, \cdot)$ is a finite set I and an integer-valued symmetric bilinear form $(\nu, \nu') \mapsto \nu\cdot\nu'$ on the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}I$ generated by I, satisfying:

(a) $i \cdot i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ for any $i \in I$;

(b) $2\frac{i\cdot j}{i\cdot i} \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ for any $i \neq j$ in I.

We assume throughout this paper that the Cartan datum is of *finite type*, i.e., the symmetric matrix $(i \cdot j)$ indexed by $I \times I$ is positive definite. This is equivalent to the requirement that the Weyl group W (see §2.1.1 in [44] for a definition) associated to $(I, \cdot)$ is finite.

1.2. We assume given a *root datum* $(X, \Pi, X^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ of type $(I, \cdot)$, satisfying the axioms:

(a) X, $X^\vee$ are finitely generated free abelian groups connected by a bilinear pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle \colon X^\vee \times X \to \mathbb{Z}$, such that the map $h \mapsto \langle h,\ \rangle$ from $X^\vee$ into the dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism.

(b) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \colon i \in I\}$, $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_i^\vee \colon i \in I\}$ are finite subsets of X, $X^\vee$ respectively, called the sets of simple roots and coroots.

(c) $\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j \rangle = 2\frac{i\cdot j}{i\cdot i}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

These properties imply that the square matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ defined by $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j\rangle$ is a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix.

We put $d_i := \frac{i\cdot i}{2}$ for each $i \in I$. Then the matrix $(d_i a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is symmetric. The vector $(d_i)_{i\in I}$ is known as the symmetrizing vector; we have all $d_i = 1$ in the simply-laced case.

1.3. The reductive finite dimensional Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ attached to the given root datum is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by elements e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ and $h \in X^\vee$ subject to the relations:

(a) $[h, h'] = 0$;

(b) $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}\alpha_i^\vee$;

(c) $[h, e_i] = \langle h,\ \alpha_i\rangle e_i, \quad [h, f_i] = -\langle h,\ \alpha_i\rangle f_i$;

(d) $(\mathrm{ad}\, e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j) = 0, \quad (\mathrm{ad}\, f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) = 0 \quad (\text{for } i \neq j)$

holding for all $i, j \in I$ and all $h, h' \in X^\vee$. This Lie algebra (actually, its semisimple part $\mathfrak{g}$ = the Lie subalgebra generated by all $e_i, f_i, h_i := [e_i, f_i] = \alpha_i^\vee$, for $i \in I$) will be needed only in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

1.4. We have a partial order $\trianglerighteq$ on X (the dominance order) defined by $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{i\in I} n_i\alpha_i$ where the $n_i \geqslant 0$ for all i. We write $\lambda \triangleright \mu$ if $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$. We write $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$ (respectively, $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$) if $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$ (resp., $\lambda \triangleright \mu$). Recall that the set X^+ of dominant weights is defined by

$$X^+ = \{\lambda \in X \colon \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle \geqslant 0, \text{ all } i \in I\}.$$

We say that a set π of dominant weights is *saturated* if π contains all dominant predecessors of its elements; i.e., if $\mu' \triangleleft \mu$ for $\mu' \in X^+$ and $\mu \in \pi$ implies that $\mu' \in \pi$.

1.5. Let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the field of rational functions in an indeterminate v. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \frac{v^{a-s+1} - v^{-a+s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

By 1.3.1(d) in [44] this is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. For any integer n we set

$$[n] = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}$$

and if $n \geqslant 0$ we set $[n]^! = [1] \cdots [n-1]\,[n]$ (with $[0]^!$ defined to be 1 as usual). Then it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[a]^!}{[t]^!\,[a-t]^!} \qquad \text{for all } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant a.$$

We set $v_i = v^{d_i}$ for each $i \in I$, where $d_i = \frac{i \cdot i}{2}$ as in 1.2. More generally, given any rational function $P \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ we let P_i denote the rational function obtained from P by replacing v by v_i. In particular, this convention applies to the notations $[n]_i$, $[n]^!_i$, and $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i$.

1.6. We now define our main objects of study. Fix a root datum and let π be a given finite saturated subset of the poset X^+ of dominant weights. The generalized q-Schur algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ associated to π is the associative algebra (with 1) over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ given by generators E_i, F_i ($i \in I$) and 1_λ ($\lambda \in W\pi$) subject to the relations:

(a) $1_\lambda 1_\mu = \delta_{\lambda\mu} 1_\lambda, \qquad \sum_{\lambda \in W\pi} 1_\lambda = 1;$

(b) $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \sum_{\lambda \in W\pi} [\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda \rangle]_i 1_\lambda;$

(c) $E_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda+\alpha_i} E_i$, $1_\lambda E_i = 1_{\lambda-\alpha_i} E_i$, $F_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda-\alpha_i} F_i$, $1_\lambda F_i = F_i 1_{\lambda+\alpha_i}$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in W\pi$, and $i, j \in I$ where in the right hand side of relations (c) we stipulate that $1_{\lambda \pm \alpha_i} = 0$ whenever $\lambda \pm \alpha_i \notin W\pi$.

From the definition it is clear that for any saturated subset $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ there is a natural surjective algebra morphism

$$p_{\pi,\pi'} \colon \mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi')$$

sending generators $E_i \mapsto E_i$, $F_i \mapsto F_i$ and sending $1_\lambda \mapsto 1_\lambda$ if $\lambda \in W\pi'$, $1_\lambda \mapsto 0$ otherwise.

Occasionally in the sequel we will consider expressions involving the symbol 1_μ for various $\mu \in X$. We adopt the convention that in any formulas involving the notation 1_μ holding in some given $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$, the symbol 1_μ will be interpreted as 0 whenever $\mu \notin W\pi$.

1.7. There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-linear algebra anti-involution $*$ on $\mathbf{S}$ determined by the properties:

(a) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{S}$,

(b) $E_i^* = F_i, \quad F_i^* = E_i, \quad 1_\mu^* = 1_\mu$ for any $i \in I$, $\mu \in X$.

This is easily verified using the defining relations 1.6.

Recall that the longest element w_0 of W interchanges the positive and negative roots, and thus $-w_0$ induces a permutation on the set of simple roots. Given a saturated subset π of X^+ we have another saturated subset $\pi' = -w_0(\pi)$ of X^+. It follows immediately from the defining relations 1.6 that there is a unique algebra isomorphism $\omega = \omega_\pi \colon \mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi')$ such that

(c) $\omega(E_i) = F_i, \quad \omega(F_i) = E_i, \quad \omega(1_\mu) = 1_{-\mu}$ for all $i \in I$, $\mu \in X$.

Note that $\pi'' = \pi$ and thus the inverse of ω_π is $\omega_{\pi'}$.

1.8. Until further notice we fix π and write $\mathbf{S}$ for $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$. We introduce the quantized divided powers

$$E_i^{(a)} = \frac{E_i^a}{[a]_i^!}, \qquad F_i^{(a)} = \frac{F_i^a}{[a]_i^!}$$

for $i \in I$, $a \geqslant 0$. (For $a < 0$ we set $F_i^{(a)} = E_i^{(a)} = 0$.)

The following consequences of the defining relations will be needed later. Note that the sums in parts (b) and (c) below are finite.

1.9. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. For any $a, b \geqslant 0$, $\mu \in W\pi$, the following identities hold in $\mathbf{S}$:*

(a) $E_i^{(a)} 1_\mu = 1_{\mu + a\alpha_i} E_i^{(a)}\,; \quad F_i^{(b)} 1_\mu = 1_{\mu - b\alpha_i} F_i^{(b)}$

(b) $E_i^{(a)} F_i^{(b)} 1_\mu = \sum_{t \geqslant 0} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a-b+\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\, \mu\rangle \\ t \end{smallmatrix}\right]_i F_i^{(b-t)} E_i^{(a-t)} 1_\mu$

(c) $F_i^{(b)} E_i^{(a)} 1_\mu = \sum_{t \geqslant 0} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} b-a-\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\, \mu\rangle \\ t \end{smallmatrix}\right]_i E_i^{(a-t)} F_i^{(b-t)} 1_\mu$.

We leave the argument to the reader. Identity (a) is an obvious consequence of relation 1.6(c) and the definition of quantized divided powers in 1.8. One proves (b) by an elementary double induction argument. Identity (c) can be proved by a similar argument, or one may use the algebra isomorphism $\omega_{\pi'}$ (see 1.7) taking $\mathbf{S}(\pi')$ onto $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$, where $\pi' = -w_0(\pi)$. By applying $\omega_{\pi'}$ to identity (b) for the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi')$, interchanging a and b, and replacing $-\mu$ by μ, one obtains identity (c) for the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$.

1.10. The orthogonal idempotent decomposition of the identity given in defining relation 1.6(a) implies that if M is a left $\mathbf{S}$-module and if N is a right $\mathbf{S}$-module then there are direct sum decompositions of the form

(a) $$M = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\mu \in W\pi} 1_\mu M; \qquad N = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\mu \in W\pi} N 1_\mu.$$

We call $1_\mu M$ and $N1_\mu$ left and right *weight spaces* of M and N, respectively, and refer to the above decompositions as left and right *weight space decompositions.* Furthermore, if M is a $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule then we have a finer direct sum decomposition of the form

(b) $$M = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\mu, \mu' \in W\pi} 1_\mu M 1_{\mu'}.$$

We call the subspaces $1_\mu M 1_{\mu'}$ in this decomposition *biweight* spaces. Elements of $1_\mu M 1_{\mu'}$ are said to have biweight (μ, μ'). In particular, $\mathbf{S}$ itself is a $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule under left and right multiplication, so $\mathbf{S}$ has a direct sum decomposition:

(c) $$\mathbf{S} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\mu,\mu' \in W\pi} 1_\mu \mathbf{S} 1_{\mu'}.$$

Put $\mathbb{Z}\Pi = \sum \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subseteq X$ (the root lattice). We have another direct sum decomposition

(d) $$\mathbf{S} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi} \mathbf{S}_\nu$$

defined by the requirements: $\mathbf{S}_\nu \mathbf{S}_{\nu'} \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{\nu+\nu'}$, $E_i \in \mathbf{S}_{\alpha_i}$, $F_i \in \mathbf{S}_{-\alpha_i}$, and $1_\mu \in \mathbf{S}_0$. Combining (d) with the biweight space decomposition (c) we have the finer decomposition

(e) $$\mathbf{S} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu,\mu,\mu'} 1_\mu \mathbf{S}_\nu 1_{\mu'}.$$

It is easy to see that in this decomposition $1_\mu \mathbf{S}_\nu 1_{\mu'} = 0$ unless $\mu - \mu' = \nu$.

1.11. Let $\mathbf{S}^+$ (respectively, $\mathbf{S}^-$) be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by the E_i (resp., the F_i) for $i \in I$. Let $\mathbf{S}^0$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by the 1_μ for $\mu \in W\pi$; clearly $\mathbf{S}^0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in W\pi} \mathbb{Q}(v) 1_\lambda$.

We will refer to the following result as the *triangular decomposition* of $\mathbf{S}$.

1.12. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. We have $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^+$. The same equality holds if the three factors on the right hand side are permuted in any order.*

Proof. By the defining relations in 1.6 the algebra $\mathbf{S}$ is spanned by elements of the form

(a) $$P = x_1 \cdots x_m 1_\mu$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \{E_i, F_i \colon i \in I\}$ and $\mu \in W\pi$. We note that in any such product, the idempotent 1_μ may be commuted to appear in any desired position in the product, at the expense of replacing μ by some other weight μ'. For an element P_0 in the form (a) let $d(P_0)$ be the number of pairs (j, j') such that $1 \leqslant j \leqslant j' \leqslant m$ and $x_j \in \{E_i \colon i \in I\}$, $x_{j'} \in \{F_i \colon i \in I\}$. We claim that P_0 can be rewritten as a finite $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-linear combination of elements of the form (a) for which $d = 0$. This is proved by induction on d, using the following consequences of the defining relations 1.6(b):

(b) $$E_i F_j = F_j E_i \ (i \neq j); \quad E_i F_i 1_\mu = F_i E_i 1_\mu + [\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu \rangle]_i 1_\mu.$$

Thus it follows that all elements of $\mathbf{S}$ can be expressed as linear combinations of products P of the form (a) with $d(P) = 0$. Since elements of the form (a) with $d = 0$ have all occurrences of F_j appearing before any E_i, it follows that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^+\mathbf{S}^0$. To get the equality $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^+\mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0$ just repeat the argument with the E's and F's interchanged. Finally, in any product of the form (a) with $d(P) = 0$ we can use relation 1.6(c) to commute the idempotent to the left of all the E_i's and the right of all the F_j's, so we obtain the equality $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^+$. The other variations are obtained similarly. □

1.13. **Corollary.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. *Suppose* λ *is maximal in* π, *with respect to the dominance order* $\trianglerighteq$ *(i.e.,* $\mu \rhd \lambda$ *implies* $\mu \notin \pi$*). Then* $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda = \mathbf{S}^-1_\lambda$ *and* $1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$.

Proof. Since λ is maximal, it follows from defining relations 1.6(c) that $E_i 1_\lambda = 0$ for any $i \in I$, so $\mathbf{S}^+1_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}(v)1_\lambda$. Clearly $\mathbf{S}^0 1_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}(v)1_\lambda$, so the first equality follows from the triangular decomposition $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^+$. The proof of the second equality is similar. □

1.14. Let P be the submonoid of the root lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Pi$ consisting of all integral linear combinations $\sum_i \nu_i\alpha_i$ with coefficients $\nu_i \geqslant 0$. Then

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathbf{S}^+ = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu\in P} \mathbf{S}^+_\nu; \qquad \mathbf{S}^- = \textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu\in P} \mathbf{S}^-_{-\nu}$$

where for any $\nu = \sum_i \nu_i\alpha_i \in P$, $\mathbf{S}^+_\nu$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-subspace of $\mathbf{S}^+$ spanned by all monomials of the form $E_{i_1}E_{i_2}\cdots E_{i_r}$ such that, for each $i \in I$, the number of occurrences of i in the sequence $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$ is ν_i and similarly, $\mathbf{S}^-_{-\nu}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-subspace of $\mathbf{S}^-$ spanned by all monomials of the form $F_{i_r}\cdots F_{i_2}F_{i_1}$ such that, for each $i \in I$, the number of occurrences of i in the sequence $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$ is ν_i. Obviously $\mathbf{S}^+_\nu$ and $\mathbf{S}^-_{-\nu}$ are finite dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, for each $\nu \in P$.

Let I^* be the set of finite sequences $B = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ of elements of I (including the empty sequence ϵ). For each sequence $B = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ in the set I^* we define

$$\text{(b)} \qquad E_B = E_{i_1}E_{i_2}\cdots E_{i_r}\,; \qquad F_B = F_{i_r}\cdots F_{i_2}F_{i_1}$$

and we define $E_\epsilon = F_\epsilon = 1$. Note that by definition $F_B^* = E_B$ for all $B \in I^*$. This notation is used extensively throughout the paper.

1.15. **Lemma.** *For any finite saturated subset* π *of* X^+ *the algebra* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ *is finite dimensional over* $\mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Proof. By the triangular decomposition, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^+$, so there is a spanning set for $\mathbf{S}$ consisting of all elements of the form $F_B 1_\mu E_D$ where $\mu \in W\pi$ and $B, D \in I^*$. Here $F_B \in \mathbf{S}^-_\nu$ and $E_D \in \mathbf{S}^+_{\nu'}$ for appropriate $\nu, \nu' \in P$. For ν sufficiently large F_B acts as zero on all 1_μ for $\mu \in W\pi$, hence acts as zero on $1 = \sum 1_\mu$, hence is equal to zero in $\mathbf{S}$. Similarly, for ν sufficiently large E_D is zero as well, for similar reasons. Thus there are only finitely many nonzero summands in 1.14(a), and the result follows. □

Note that the above argument also shows that the generators E_i and F_i ($i \in I$) are nilpotent.

1.16. The notion of a cellular algebra was introduced by Graham and Lehrer in [27]. This is an algebra defined in terms of an explicit basis (called a cellular basis) and an anti-involution $*$, satisfying certain combinatorial properties. In [40, Definition 3.2], (see also [41, Definition 2.2]) König and Xi gave an equivalent, basis-free, definition of cellularity as follows.

Definition (König and Xi)**.** Let S be an A-algebra, where A is a commutative ring with identity. Assume there is an anti-automorphism $x \mapsto x^*$ on S with $x^{**} = x$, for all $x \in S$. A two-sided ideal J in S is called a *cell ideal* if $J^* = J$ and there exists a left ideal $\Delta \subset J$, finitely generated and free over A, and an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\sigma\colon J \to \Delta \otimes_A \Delta^*$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "*"'] & \Delta \otimes_A \Delta^* \arrow[d, "x\otimes y\mapsto y^*\otimes x^*"] \\
J \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \Delta \otimes_A \Delta^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. The algebra S (with the anti-involution $*$) is called *cellular* if there is an A-module decomposition $S = S'_1 \oplus S'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus S'_m$ (for some m) with $(S'_j)^* = S'_j$ for each j and such that setting $S_j = S'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S'_j$ gives a chain of two-sided ideals of S: $0 = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_m = S$ (each of them fixed by $*$) and for each j ($j = 1, \cdots, m$), the quotient $S'_j = S_j/S_{j-1}$ is a cell ideal (with respect to the anti-involution induced by $*$ on the quotient) of S/S_{j-1}.

This will be used to prove the cellularity of the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ for any finite saturated π. We begin this task in the next section. (In [40], the authors assume that the underlying ring A is a commutative noetherian integral domain; however, that assumption is not used in the proof of equivalence of their definition with that of Graham and Lehrer.)

Although the above definition is basis-free, any algebra S satisfying it has a cellular basis in the sense of the the original definition given in [27]. We recall the argument from [40]. Let J be a cell ideal in S. Choose any A-basis $\{C_T\}$ of Δ and denote by $C_{T,U}$ the inverse image under σ of $C_T \otimes C_U^*$. Then $\{C_{T,U}\}$ is a cellular basis (in the sense of [27]) of the ideal J. Induction (on the length of the defining cell chain $\{S_j\}$ of S) provides a cellular basis of S/J. Then choosing any preimages of those basis elements in S, along with the basis of J as above, produces a cellular basis of the algebra S.

2. Straightening

It follows from the triangular decomposition that every element of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of elements of $\mathbf{S}^- 1_\mu \mathbf{S}^+$ for various $\mu \in W\pi$. We will now refine this observation to obtain a filtration of the algebra $\mathbf{S}$ by a sequence of two-sided ideals. Eventually, this filtration will be proved to be a defining cell chain in $\mathbf{S}$, in the sense of König and Xi.

An element λ of π is maximal in π (with respect to $\trianglerighteq$) if there does not exist any $\lambda' \in \pi$ such that $\lambda' \triangleright \lambda$. Fix some maximal element $\lambda \in \pi$ and set $\pi' = \pi - \{\lambda\}$; note that π' is saturated. Put $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}(\pi')$. From 1.6 we have a natural quotient map $p_{\pi,\pi'} \colon \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}'$. It follows from comparing the defining presentations for $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}'$ that the kernel of this quotient map is the two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by all idempotents of the form $1_{w(\lambda)}$ where $w \in W$. In fact, this ideal is generated by the single idempotent 1_λ, as we now show.

2.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. *Let* λ *be a maximal element in* π, *and set* $\pi' = \pi - \{\lambda\}$. *Then the natural quotient map* $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}' := \mathbf{S}(\pi')$ *has kernel* $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+$.

Proof. Comparing the defining presentations for $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}'$ observe that the kernel of the natural quotient map $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}'$ is generated by the set of idempotents of the form $1_{w(\lambda)}$ for $w \in W$. Clearly, the ideal $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$ is contained in the kernel. On the other hand, we claim that Lemma 1.9 implies that each $1_{w(\lambda)}$ (for any $w \in W$) lies within $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$, which gives the opposite inclusion and proves that the kernel is equal to $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$.

It remains to prove the claim. This is done by induction on the length of w. If $w = s_i$ is a simple reflection then by putting $\mu = s_i(\lambda)$ and setting $a = b = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda \rangle$ in part (iii) of Lemma 1.9 we see that

$$F_i^{(a)} E_i^{(a)} 1_{s_i(\lambda)} = 1_{s_i(\lambda)}$$

since $F_i 1_{s_i(\lambda)} = 0$ because the weight $s_i(\lambda)$ is extremal in $W\pi$ in the α_i direction. Since $E_i^{(a)} 1_{s_i(\lambda)} = E_i^{(a)} 1_{\lambda - a\alpha_i} = 1_\lambda E_i^{(a)}$ by part (i) of Lemma 1.9, we obtain the equality

$$F_i^{(a)} 1_\lambda E_i^{(a)} = 1_{s_i(\lambda)}$$

which proves the claim in case $w = s_i$ has length 1.

Now let $w \in W$ have length at least 2, and assume the claim for elements of W of length strictly less than the length of w. We may write w in the form $w = s_i w'$ for some $w' \in W$ such that $\ell(w') < \ell(w)$. By induction $1_{w'(\lambda)} \in \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$. Now take $\mu = w(\lambda)$ and set $a = b = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ w'(\lambda) \rangle$ in part (iii) of Lemma 1.9. Similar to the above, we get

$$F_i^{(a)} E_i^{(a)} 1_{w(\lambda)} = 1_{w(\lambda)}$$

since $w(\lambda)$ is extremal in the α_i direction. Then again by part (i) of Lemma 1.9 we have $E_i^{(a)} 1_{w(\lambda)} = E_i^{(a)} 1_{w'(\lambda) - a\alpha_i} = 1_{w'(\lambda)} E_i^{(a)}$, so

$$F_i^{(a)} 1_{w'(\lambda)} E_i^{(a)} = 1_{w(\lambda)}.$$

Since $1_{w'(\lambda)} \in \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$ it follows that $1_{w(\lambda)} \in \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$, as desired. This proves the claim, and thus the validity of the first description of the kernel.

From the triangular decomposition $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^+\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^-$ it follows that $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^+1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^-$, for any maximal element $\lambda \in \pi$, since the subspaces $\mathbf{S}^+1_\lambda = \mathbf{S}^0 1_\lambda = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^0 = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$ are one dimensional, spanned by 1_λ. This completes the proof. □

2.2. From the preceding proof it follows that, for a maximal element $\lambda \in \pi$, each $1_{w(\lambda)}$ is expressible by a specific formula in terms of 1_λ. In fact, if $w = s_{i_r}s_{i_{r-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}$ is a reduced expression for $w \in W$ in terms of simple reflections s_i ($i \in I$) then we have inductively that

$$1_{w(\lambda)} = F_{i_r}^{(a_r)}F_{i_{r-1}}^{(a_{r-1})}\cdots F_{i_1}^{(a_1)}1_\lambda E_{i_1}^{(a_1)}\cdots E_{i_{r-1}}^{(a_{r-1})}E_{i_r}^{(a_r)}$$

where for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ we have $a_j = \langle \alpha_{i_j}^\vee,\ s_{i_{j-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}(\lambda)\rangle$.

2.3. Let π be a finite saturated subset of X^+. A subset Φ of π will be said to be *cosaturated* in π if Φ is successor-closed; i.e., for any $\mu \in \Phi$ and $\lambda \in \pi$ the inequality $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$ implies that $\lambda \in \Phi$.

An example of a cosaturated subset of π is the set $\pi[\trianglerighteq\lambda]$ of all μ in π such that $\mu \trianglerighteq \lambda$, for each fixed $\lambda \in \pi$. Also, the set $\pi[\triangleright\lambda]$ of all μ in π such that $\mu \triangleright \lambda$ is cosaturated, for each fixed $\lambda \in \pi$. If λ is maximal in π then $\pi[\trianglerighteq\lambda] = \{\lambda\}$. Also, a singleton subset $\Phi = \{\lambda\}$ of π is cosaturated if and only if λ is maximal in π.

It is easy to see that every cosaturated subset of π is a union of sets of the form $\pi[\trianglerighteq\lambda]$ for various $\lambda \in \pi$. Also, the empty set and the set π itself are cosaturated subsets of π.

We note that for a given subset Φ of π, where π is a saturated set, the set theoretic difference $\pi - \Phi$ is saturated if and only if Φ is cosaturated. It follows that the cosaturated subsets of π are all of the form $\Phi = \pi - \pi'$, for π' a saturated subset of π.

The basic building blocks of our filtration will be the $*$-invariant subspaces of the form $\mathbf{S}^-1_\mu\mathbf{S}^+$, for $\mu \in \pi$. For any subset Φ of π we put

$$\mathbf{S}[\Phi] = \sum_{\mu\in\Phi} \mathbf{S}^-1_\mu\mathbf{S}^+$$

regarded as a subspace of $\mathbf{S}$; this is also $*$-invariant. Whenever $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ we have $\mathbf{S}[\Phi'] \subseteq \mathbf{S}[\Phi]$.

As particular cases of this notation, we will write $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]$ short for $\mathbf{S}[\Phi]$ in the case $\Phi = \pi[\trianglerighteq\lambda]$ and similarly write $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$ short for $\mathbf{S}[\Phi']$ in the case $\Phi' = \pi[\triangleright\lambda]$.

2.4. **Lemma.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. *For each fixed* $\lambda \in \pi$ *the* $*$*-invariant subspaces*

$$\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda] = \textstyle\sum_{\mu\triangleright\lambda}\mathbf{S}^-1_\mu\mathbf{S}^+; \qquad \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda] = \textstyle\sum_{\mu\trianglerighteq\lambda}\mathbf{S}^-1_\mu\mathbf{S}^+$$

are two-sided ideals of $\mathbf{S}$. *We have* $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda] \subseteq \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]$ *and* $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda] \subseteq \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda']$ *whenever* $\lambda \trianglerighteq \lambda'$. *Moreover, for any* j, r *we have, modulo terms in* $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$

(a) $\quad E_j \cdot F_{i_1}\dots F_{i_r}1_\lambda = \sum \delta_{j,i_s}[\langle\alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda - \gamma_{s,r}\rangle]_j F_{i_1}\cdots F_{i_{s-1}}F_{i_{s+1}}\cdots F_{i_r}1_\lambda$

and

(b) $1_\lambda E_{i_r} \dots E_{i_1} \cdot F_j = \sum \delta_{j,i_s} [\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda - \gamma_{s,r}\rangle]_j 1_\lambda E_{i_r} \cdots E_{i_{s+1}} E_{i_{s-1}} \cdots E_{i_1}$

where $\gamma_{s,r} := \alpha_{i_{s+1}} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r}$. *(In both sums* s *varies from 1 to* r*.)*

Proof. The proof is by a double induction. First assume that λ is maximal in π. We establish (a) in case $r = 1$. From the defining relations 1.6 it follows that

$$E_j F_i 1_\lambda = \delta_{j,i}(F_i E_j 1_\lambda + [\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle]_j 1_\lambda)$$

for any $i, j \in I$. Since $E_j 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda+\alpha_j} E_j$ and since λ is maximal, it follows that

$$E_j F_i 1_\lambda = \delta_{j,i}(F_i 1_{\lambda+\alpha_j} E_j + [\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle]_j 1_\lambda) = \delta_{j,i}[\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle]_j 1_\lambda$$

which proves (a) in the case $r = 1$ and λ is maximal. (Note that $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda] = 0$ for maximal λ.) Assuming now that (a) holds for λ maximal and for all words in the F_i of length at most r, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_j \cdot F_{i_1} \dots F_{i_{r+1}} 1_\lambda &= (F_{i_1} E_j + \delta_{j,i_1}[\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda - \gamma_{1,r+1}\rangle]_j) F_{i_2} \cdots F_{i_{r+1}} 1_\lambda \\ &= F_{i_1} E_j \cdot F_{i_2} \cdots F_{i_{r+1}} 1_\lambda + \delta_{j,i_1}[\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \lambda - \gamma_{1,r+1}\rangle]_j F_{i_2} \cdots F_{i_{r+1}} 1_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the sub-expression $E_j \cdot F_{i_2} \cdots F_{i_{r+1}} 1_\lambda$ appearing in the first term of the last equality above by the inductive hypothesis, we obtain (a) in case λ is maximal. Now (b) follows in this case by applying the anti-involution $*$ to (a).

Still assuming that λ is maximal in π, let $u = F_{i_1} \dots F_{i_r} 1_\lambda E_{j_s} \dots E_{j_1} \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$. Then it follows from (a) and (b) that $E_j \cdot u \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ and $u \cdot F_j \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ for any $j \in I$. It follows from the defining relations 1.6 that $F_j \cdot u, 1_\mu \cdot u \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ and $u \cdot E_j, u \cdot 1_\mu \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ for any $j \in I$, $\mu \in \pi$. Thus $\mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{S}$.

Now we fix some λ which is not maximal, and assume that all assertions have been established for all $\lambda' \rhd \lambda$. Then $\mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda']$ and $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda']$ are two-sided ideals for every $\lambda' \rhd \lambda$. Thus $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda' \rhd \lambda} \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda']$ is a subring of $\mathbf{S}$. Furthermore, given any $s \in \mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$ there exist $s_{\lambda'} \in \mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda']$ such that $s = \sum_{\lambda' \rhd \lambda} s_{\lambda'}$. Thus $as = \sum_{\lambda' \rhd \lambda} a s_{\lambda'} \in \mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$ and $sa = \sum_{\lambda' \rhd \lambda} s_{\lambda'} a \in \mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$, and $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{S}$.

We can now repeat the induction on r in the first paragraph of the proof to obtain (a) for λ, modulo terms in $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$, and then obtain (b) by applying the anti-involution $*$. It then follows from (a) and (b) that $\mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda]$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{S}$, and the proof is complete. □

2.5. **Corollary.** *Let* Φ *be a cosaturated subset of* π. *Then the subspace* $\mathbf{S}[\Phi] = \sum_{\mu\in\Phi} \mathbf{S}^- 1_\mu \mathbf{S}^+$ *is a* $*$*-invariant two-sided ideal of* $\mathbf{S}$. *Furthermore, this ideal is the kernel of the natural quotient map* $\mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$.

Proof. Let $\min(\Phi)$ denote the set of elements of Φ which are minimal with respect to the dominance order; i.e., the set of elements $\mu \in \Phi$ for which there do not exist any $\mu' \in \Phi$ with $\mu' \lhd \mu$. Since Φ is cosaturated, $\Phi = \bigcup_{\mu\in\min(\Phi)} \pi[\unrhd\mu]$ and thus $\mathbf{S}[\Phi] = \sum_{\mu\in\min(\Phi)} \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]$. Since the linear sum of a collection of ideals is an ideal, the proof of the first claim is complete.

It remains to prove the second claim. We proceed by induction on the cardinality of the cosaturated set $\Phi = \pi - \pi'$. The case where $\Phi = \{\lambda\}$ is the base case of the induction, proved in Lemma 2.1. So suppose that Φ has at least two elements, and pick a maximal element λ of Φ. We have the following sequence of natural quotient maps:

$$\mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi - \{\lambda\}) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$$

where $\pi - \Phi = \pi'$ is a saturated subset of the saturated set $\pi - \{\lambda\}$. By the inductive hypothesis, the kernel of the second map $\mathbf{S}(\pi - \{\lambda\}) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$ is $\mathbf{S}(\pi-\{\lambda\})[\Phi-\{\lambda\}]$. By Lemma 2.1, the kernel of the first map $\mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi-\{\lambda\})$ is $\mathbf{S}(\pi)[\{\lambda\}]$. The result follows. ☐

2.6. Fix π and put $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. Since $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$ and $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]$ are two-sided ideals of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu] \subseteq \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]$, it follows that the quotient space $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$ is for each $\mu \in \pi$ an $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule. These bimodules will be used later to study the representation theory of $\mathbf{S}$.

More generally, if Φ, Φ' are cosaturated subsets of π with $\Phi \subseteq \Phi'$ then the quotient space $\mathbf{S}[\Phi']/\mathbf{S}[\Phi]$ is an $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule.

For $\mu \in \pi$, consider the natural quotient map $p_{\pi,\pi-\Phi}\colon \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}'$ where $\Phi = \pi[\triangleright\mu]$ and $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$. The kernel of this map is the ideal $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$ and $\mathbf{S}' \simeq \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$. The weight μ is maximal in the saturated set $\pi - \Phi$, so it follows that the formula in 2.2 holds with μ in place of λ, modulo terms in the kernel $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$. To be precise, if $w = s_{i_r}s_{i_{r-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}$ is a reduced expression for $w \in W$ in terms of simple reflections s_i $(i \in I)$ then we have

(a) $$1_{w(\mu)} = F_{i_r}^{(a_r)}F_{i_{r-1}}^{(a_{r-1})}\cdots F_{i_1}^{(a_1)}1_\mu E_{i_1}^{(a_1)}\cdots E_{i_{r-1}}^{(a_{r-1})}E_{i_r}^{(a_r)}$$

modulo $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$, where $a_j = \langle\alpha_{i_j}^\vee,\ s_{i_{j-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}(\mu)\rangle$, for each $j = 1, \dots, r$.

This gives a refinement of the triangular decomposition in Lemma 1.12. From the equality $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-\mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{S}^+$ we know that the algebra $\mathbf{S}$ is spanned by products of the form $F_B 1_\mu E_D$ where μ ranges over the set $W\pi$ and $B, D \in I^*$ (notation of 1.14). The previous analysis shows that in fact $\mathbf{S}$ is spanned by the set of such elements where μ ranges over just the *dominant* weights in the set $W\pi$. We have thus proved the following result.

2.7. **Proposition** (Refined triangular decomposition)**.** *For any finite saturated set π, the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ is spanned by products of the form $F_B 1_\mu E_D$, where μ ranges over the set π and B, D are in I^*.*

Saturated subsets of π parametrize Schur algebra homomorphic images of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ while cosaturated subsets of π parametrize kernels of such homomorphisms. Now we use the cosaturated subsets of π to study filtrations of the algebra $\mathbf{S}$.

2.8. **Definition.** Fix a finite saturated subset π of X^+. A *flag* of cosaturated subsets of π is a collection $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=0}^m$ of cosaturated subsets of π such that

(a) $\emptyset = \Phi_0 \subset \Phi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_{m-1} \subset \Phi_m = \pi$;
(b) $\Phi_j - \Phi_{j-1}$ is a singleton set, say $\{\lambda_j\}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Necessarily, m is equal to the cardinality $|\pi|$ of the set π and the sequence $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ is compatible with the dominance order in the following sense: $\lambda_i \rhd \lambda_j$ implies $i < j$.

Evidently, given any finite saturated $\pi \subset X^+$, we can always find a flag of cosaturated subsets of π. This can be done inductively, starting by picking a maximal element λ_1 of π, then picking a maximal element λ_2 of the saturated set $\pi - \{\lambda_1\}$, and so on. Then $\Phi_j = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j\}$ for all j, and λ_j is a maximal element of $\pi - \Phi_{j-1}$.

Fixing some chosen flag $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=0}^m$ of cosaturated subsets of π, we put $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}[\Phi_j]$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$. We would like to prove that the filtration

$$\{0\} = \mathbf{S}_0 \subset \mathbf{S}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{S}_{m-1} \subset \mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{S} \tag{c}$$

is a defining cell chain of the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$, in the sense of König and Xi (see 1.16). For this, we need a subspace $\mathbf{S}'_j$ of $\mathbf{S}_j$ such that $(\mathbf{S}'_j)^* = \mathbf{S}'_j$ and

$$\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} \oplus \mathbf{S}'_j \qquad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The main problem here is that, although we do have the equality

$$\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}[\Phi_j] = \textstyle\sum_{i \leqslant j} \mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_i} \mathbf{S}^+ = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + (\mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{S}^+)$$

for all j, it is easy to find examples showing that this sum is not direct in general. However, as we shall see, if $\mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{S}^+$ is replaced by the (in general) smaller subspace consisting of the span of those monomials which do not map to zero under the canonical quotient map $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}_{j-1}$, then we do obtain a direct sum as desired.

2.9. **Definition.** For each $j = 1, \dots, m$ put $\pi_j = \{\lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_m\}$. Then π_j is saturated for each j, and $\pi_1 = \pi$. For each j we define $u_j \in \mathbf{S}$ by

$$u_j = \textstyle\sum_{\mu \in W\pi_j} 1_\mu.$$

Obviously u_j, being a sum of pairwise orthogonal idempotents, is itself an idempotent in $\mathbf{S}$, for each j.

Notice that $u_1 = 1$ is the identity in $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ and in general the image of u_j under the canonical quotient map $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}_{j-1} \simeq \mathbf{S}(\pi_j)$ is the identity element of that algebra.

2.10. **Proposition.** *Putting $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_j \mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{S}^+ u_j$ we have the vector space decomposition $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}'_j$, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. In consequence we have $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}'_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{S}'_j$ for all j. Furthermore, $(\mathbf{S}'_j)^* = \mathbf{S}'_j$ for all j.*

Proof. Only the first claim needs proof, as the second and third claims are clear. For convenience of notation, we put $Q_j = \mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{S}^+$. Then by definition, $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + Q_j$. Since $1 = u_j + (1 - u_j)$ is an orthogonal decomposition of the identity, we have a vector space direct sum decomposition

$$Q_j = u_j Q_j u_j \oplus u_j Q_j (1 - u_j) \oplus (1 - u_j) Q_j u_j \oplus (1 - u_j) Q_j (1 - u_j)$$

in which the first summand is $\mathbf{S}'_j$. Let R_j be the direct sum of the last three summands above, so that $Q_j = \mathbf{S}'_j \oplus R_j$. The space Q_j is spanned by monomials of the form $F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D$ where B, D are sequences in I^*. The biweight (see 1.10) of such a monomial has the form (μ, μ') where

$$\mu = \lambda_j - \mathrm{wt}(B); \qquad \mu' = \lambda_j - \mathrm{wt}(D)$$

where we define $\mathrm{wt}(B) = \sum_j \alpha_{i_j}$ for $B = (i_1, i_2, \dots) \in I^*$. It follows that both $\lambda_j \trianglerighteq \mu$, $\lambda_j \trianglerighteq \mu'$ and

$$F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D \in \mathbf{S}'_j \text{ if and only if both } \mu,\, \mu' \in W\pi_j.$$

Now suppose that $\mu \notin W\pi_j$. If $\mu \in X^+$ then $\lambda_j \trianglerighteq \mu$ implies $\mu \in \pi_j$, which is a contradiction. So $\mu \notin X^+$. Thus we can write $\mu = w(\mu^+)$ for some $1 \neq w \in W$ where $\mu^+ \in X^+ \cap W\mu$ is the unique dominant weight in the Weyl group orbit $W\mu$. Note that both w and μ^+ are uniquely determined by μ. Now clearly $\mu^+ \notin \pi_j$ and thus we must have $\mu^+ = \lambda_i$ for some $i < j$. By the commutation relations 1.6(c) we have $F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D = 1_\mu F_B E_D$. Since $1_\mu \in \mathbf{S}_i$ by the formula in 2.6 and since $\mathbf{S}_i$ is an ideal it follows that $F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D = 1_\mu F_B E_D \in \mathbf{S}_i$, and from the inclusion $\mathbf{S}_i \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{j-1}$ it follows that $F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D \in \mathbf{S}_{j-1}$.

If $\mu' \notin W\pi_j$ then by a similar argument it follows that $F_B 1_{\lambda_j} E_D \in \mathbf{S}_{j-1}$.

From the preceding two paragraphs it follows that $R_j \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{j-1}$, as R_j is spanned by monomials as above of biweight (μ, μ') such that μ or μ' is not in $W\pi_j$. Since by definition $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + Q_j$ and $Q_j = \mathbf{S}'_{j-1} \oplus R_j$, it follows that $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}'_j$. $\square$

2.11. **Remarks.** (a) Notice that from the definitions it follows that the subspace $\mathbf{S}'_1$ (at the bottom of the filtration) satisfies $\mathbf{S}'_1 = \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_1} \mathbf{S}^+ = \mathbf{S} 1_{\lambda_1} \mathbf{S}$.

(b) Put $\lambda = \lambda_j$. From the last sentence of 1.10 it follows that in the preceding proposition the idempotent u_j may be replaced by $u_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in W\pi_\lambda} 1_\mu$, where π_λ is the set of all $\lambda' \in X^+$ such that $\lambda' \trianglelefteq \lambda$. Note that u_λ is independent of the chosen flag of cosaturated subsets of π.

The following is the main result of this section. We retain all the notation already introduced.

2.12. **Proposition.** *Let π be a finite saturated subset of X^+ and put $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. With $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_j \mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{S}^+ u_j$ as above, we have the vector space decomposition $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} \oplus \mathbf{S}'_j$, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{S}'_j$ for all j.*

Proof. Put $\lambda = \lambda_j$ as above. By Remark 2.11(b) we have $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_j\mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_j = u_\lambda \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_\lambda$. With $\pi_\lambda = \{\lambda' \in X^+ \colon \lambda' \trianglelefteq \lambda\}$ we have $u_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in W\pi_\lambda} 1_\mu$. By the preceding proposition $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}'_j$. We only need to show that this sum is direct, equivalently, that $\mathbf{S}'_j \cap \mathbf{S}_{j-1} = (0)$.

Since $\pi_\lambda \subset \pi$ we have a natural algebra quotient map $p_\lambda \colon \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$; this is p_{π,π_λ} in the notation of 1.6. This map carries the quotient space $\mathbf{S}'_j/(\mathbf{S}'_j \cap \mathbf{S}_{j-1}) \simeq (\mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}'_j)/\mathbf{S}_{j-1} = \mathbf{S}_j/\mathbf{S}_{j-1}$ isomorphically onto the subspace $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda) = \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$ of $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$. Hence $\dim \mathbf{S}'_j \geqslant \dim \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$, with equality equivalent to the desired result.

We may regard the subspace $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$ as the free vector space with basis $\{F_B 1_\lambda E_D \colon B, D \in I^*\}$ subject to all linear relations among those monomials which are consequences of the defining relations for $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$. Now we compare with the subspace $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_\lambda \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_\lambda$. The effect of multiplying on the left and right by u_λ is the same as the effect of imposing additional relations which say that all $1_\mu = 0$ for $\mu \notin W\pi_\lambda$. This implies that all linear relations holding among the generators of the subspace $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$ which are consequences of the defining relations of $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$ must hold also in the subspace $\mathbf{S}'_j$. So we may regard $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_\lambda \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_\lambda$ as the free vector space with basis $\{u_\lambda F_B 1_\lambda E_D u_\lambda \colon B, D \in I^*\}$ subject to at least the "same" linear relations that define $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$.

This implies that there is a vector space quotient map from $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$ onto $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_\lambda \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_\lambda$. Thus, $\dim \mathbf{S}'_j \leqslant \dim \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$. Combining this with the opposite inequality proved earlier in the argument, we see that $\dim \mathbf{S}'_j = \dim \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$, so $\mathbf{S}'_j \cap \mathbf{S}_{j-1} = (0)$, as desired. □

2.13. **Remarks.** (a) It follows that $\mathbf{S}'_j$ and $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)^+$ are isomorphic as vector spaces. In particular, this implies that the subspace $\mathbf{S}'_j$ is independent of the choice of flag of cosaturated subsets of π used to define the filtration. The argument shows that $\mathbf{S}'_j$ depends only on π and the weight $\lambda = \lambda_j$ in π; we can take $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_\lambda \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ u_\lambda$, with u_λ as in 2.11(b).

(b) More generally, given any pair $\Phi \subset \Phi'$ of cosaturated subsets of π, the quotient space $\mathbf{S}[\Phi']/\mathbf{S}[\Phi]$ depends only on the set theoretic difference $\Phi' - \Phi$ and not on Φ or Φ'. This quotient space is an $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule.

3. Highest weight representations

Now we consider the representation theory of the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$, for a given finite saturated set π of dominant weights.

3.1. Suppose that M is a left $\mathbf{S}$-module. As already discussed in 1.10(a), M has a direct sum decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in W\pi} 1_\mu M. \tag{a}$$

We call this the weight space decomposition of M and call the subspace $1_\mu M$ a weight space of weight μ. Elements of the weight space $1_\mu M$ are called weight vectors of weight μ. This terminology can be justified by introducing, for any $h \in X^\vee$, the elements

$$\text{(b)} \qquad K_h = \textstyle\sum_{\mu \in W\pi} v^{\langle h,\, \mu\rangle} 1_\mu .$$

These elements of $\mathbf{S}$ act semisimply on any $\mathbf{S}$-module M, and act as the scalar $v^{\langle h,\, \mu\rangle}$ on the weight space $1_\mu M$.

From relations 1.6(c), (a) it follows that for any $i \in I$ we have inclusions

$$\text{(c)} \qquad E_i(1_\mu M) \subseteq 1_{\mu+\alpha_i} M; \qquad F_i(1_\mu M) \subseteq 1_{\mu-\alpha_i} M$$

for $\mu \in W\pi$. So acting by E_i increases the weight by α_i and acting by F_i decreases the weight by α_i. We also have

$$\text{(d)} \qquad 1_{\mu'}(1_\mu M) = \delta_{\mu,\mu'} 1_\mu M$$

for any $\mu, \mu' \in W\pi$.

3.2. **Definition.** Let M be a given $\mathbf{S}$-module. If λ in $W\pi$ has the property that $1_\lambda M \neq 0$ but $1_\mu M = 0$ for all $\mu \rhd \lambda$, then we say that λ is a *highest weight* of M and we call any nonzero element of $1_\lambda M$ a *highest weight vector*. If $0 \neq x_0 \in M$ is a weight vector such that $E_i \cdot x_0 = 0$ for every $i \in I$, then x_0 is called a *maximal vector* of M.

A highest weight vector is also a maximal vector. If $M \neq 0$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{S}$-module then M has at least one highest weight vector, because there are only finitely many weights in M. It follows that M has a maximal vector. We wish to study the submodules of M which are generated by a chosen maximal vector.

3.3. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. Let x_0 be a maximal vector of weight λ in a finite dimensional left $\mathbf{S}$-module M, and put $V = \mathbf{S}x_0$. Then:*

(a) *V is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-span of elements of the form $F_{i_1}F_{i_2}\cdots F_{i_r}x_0$ for various finite sequences $(i_1, \dots, i_r)$ (including the empty sequence) in I^*.*

(b) *If $1_\mu V \neq 0$ then $\mu \unlhd \lambda$, so x_0 is a highest weight vector of V.*

(c) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} 1_\lambda V = 1$.

(d) *V is indecomposable, with a unique maximal submodule and a corresponding unique simple quotient.*

Proof. Part (a) follows from the triangular decomposition, which implies that $V = \mathbf{S}^- x_0$. Part (b) follows from part (a), part (c) is obvious, and to get part (d), let V' be the sum of all proper submodules and note that $V' \neq V$ since no proper submodule contains x_0. □

3.4. **Corollary.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. *Any simple left* $\mathbf{S}$*-module has a unique highest weight vector* x_0, *up to scalar multiple.*

Proof. Suppose that M is a simple left $\mathbf{S}$-module. By the general theory of finite dimensional algebras over a field, M is finite dimensional, since it appears as the top composition factor of some direct summand of the regular representation. Thus the simple $\mathbf{S}$-module M must have a highest weight vector, which must also be a maximal vector. Any maximal vector necessarily generates M since M is simple. Suppose that there are two highest weight vectors, respectively of weight λ and λ'. Then by part (b) of Lemma 3.3 we must have $\lambda' \trianglelefteq \lambda$ and $\lambda \trianglelefteq \lambda'$, so $\lambda = \lambda'$. Then part (c) of Lemma 3.3 forces the two highest weight vectors to be proportional, and the proof is complete. □

As another corollary of Lemma 3.3 we show that highest weight simple modules are uniquely determined by their highest weight. This is important for the classification of the simple $\mathbf{S}$-modules, which will be completed later.

3.5. **Corollary.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. *Let* L, L' *be two simple left* $\mathbf{S}$*-modules, each of highest weight* λ, *for some* $\lambda \in \pi$. *Then* L *is isomorphic to* L'.

Proof. (Compare with the proof of Theorem A of §20.3 in [33].) Let $M = L \oplus L'$. Suppose that y_0, y_0' are maximal vectors in L, L' respectively. The left weight of both y_0 and y_0' is λ. Put $x_0 = (y_0, y_0')$. Then x_0 is a maximal vector in M, of left weight λ. Let N be the submodule of M generated by x_0. Lemma 3.3 implies that N has a unique simple quotient. But the natural projections $N \to L$, $N \to L'$ are $\mathbf{S}$-module epimorphisms, so $L \simeq L'$, as desired. □

3.6. We now construct some highest weight modules, indexed by the set π. Let us fix some $\lambda \in \pi$. By Lemma 2.4 we have the two-sided ideals $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda] \supset \mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$ of $\mathbf{S}$. Thus we have a natural $\mathbf{S}$-bimodule structure on the quotient space $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$. Put $x_0 := 1_\lambda + \mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$. This is a highest weight vector, hence also a maximal vector, for the left $\mathbf{S}$-module structure of $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$. We put

(a) $\Delta(\lambda) =$ left $\mathbf{S}$-submodule of $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$ generated by x_0.

Similarly, the vector x_0 is a highest weight vector for the right $\mathbf{S}$-module structure on $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$, and we put

(b) $\Delta(\lambda)^* =$ right $\mathbf{S}$-submodule of $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$ generated by x_0.

We note that the vector x_0 is also a maximal vector for the right $\mathbf{S}$-module structure, in the sense that it is killed by all F_i for $i \in I$. Both $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)^*$ are highest weight modules for $\mathbf{S}$. Eventually we will show that these highest weight modules are simple modules for the algebra $\mathbf{S}$, but at the moment all we can say is that they have unique simple quotients. These simple quotients are simple modules of highest weight λ, for each $\lambda \in \pi$.

In case λ is maximal in π, we have $\mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda] = (0)$. In this special case, $\mathbf{S}[\unrhd\lambda] = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^-1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$ and the modules $\Delta(\lambda) = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda = \mathbf{S}^-1_\lambda$ and $\Delta(\lambda)^* = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$ are just the left and right ideals generated by 1_λ.

3.7. An important fact about the modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)^*$ is that they are *independent* of π, up to isomorphism, in the following sense. Given any finite saturated sets π, π' of dominant weights containing λ, we set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ and $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}(\pi')$. Then the left $\mathbf{S}$-module ${}_{\mathbf{S}}\Delta(\lambda)$ and the left $\mathbf{S}'$-module ${}_{\mathbf{S}'}\Delta(\lambda)$ constructed as above are isomorphic as vector spaces; furthermore, the isomorphism is compatible with the natural quotient maps $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$, $\mathbf{S}' \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$. Similar statements apply to the right $\mathbf{S}$-module $\Delta(\lambda)^*_{\mathbf{S}}$ and the right $\mathbf{S}'$-module $\Delta(\lambda)^*_{\mathbf{S}'}$.

This can be seen as follows. Let π_λ be the set of dominant weights λ' such that $\lambda' \unlhd \lambda$. Since π_λ is contained in both π and π', there are natural quotient maps $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$, $\mathbf{S}' \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$. These quotient maps induce isomorphisms of ${}_{\mathbf{S}}\Delta(\lambda)$ and ${}_{\mathbf{S}'}\Delta(\lambda)$ onto the left ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)1_\lambda$. Similarly, they induce isomorphisms of $\Delta(\lambda)^*_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\Delta(\lambda)^*_{\mathbf{S}'}$ onto the right ideal $1_\lambda\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$. Note that the left and right ideals may be regarded as left and right modules for both algebras $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}'$ by means of the quotient maps from those algebras onto $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$.

This argument also shows that the highest weight modules $\Delta(\lambda)$, $\Delta(\lambda)^*$ may always be constructed as left and right ideals in some generalized q-Schur algebra. Furthermore, the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$ is the unique smallest generalized q-Schur algebra in which such a construction can take place.

4. The rank one case

We turn now to the case where the root datum (see 1.2) has rank 1, so we assume that $I = \{i\}$ is a singleton and $X = X^\vee = \mathbb{Z}$, with $\alpha_i = 2$ and $\alpha_i^\vee = 1$. Dominant weights are just nonnegative integers, and a set π of nonnegative integers is saturated if and only if $n \in \pi$ and $n - 2 \geqslant 0$ implies that $n - 2 \in \pi$. Any subset of $2\mathbb{Z}$ of the form $2\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, x]$ is saturated, as is any subset of $2\mathbb{Z}+1$ of the form $(2\mathbb{Z}+1) \cap [0, x]$, where x is a positive real number and $[0, x]$ is the closed interval in the real line $\mathbb{R}$ with the given endpoints. In general, a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ is saturated if and only if it is a union of such subsets.

We wish to classify the simple representations of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ in the rank one case. This prepares the way for the classification of simple representations in higher ranks.

Fix a finite saturated subset π of $X^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. Recall that for any $n \in \pi$, $\Delta(n)$ is the left submodule of $\mathbf{S}[\unrhd n]/\mathbf{S}[\rhd n]$ generated by $x_0 = 1_n + \mathbf{S}[\rhd n]$, while $\Delta(n)^*$ is the right submodule generated by x_0.

4.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $n \in \pi$. *Put* $x_t = F_i^{(t)} \cdot x_0 \in \Delta(n)$ *and* $x'_t = x_0 \cdot E_i^{(t)} \in \Delta(n)^*$, *for* $t \geqslant 0$. *For* $t < 0$ *put* $x_t = x'_t = 0$. *Then* $x_t = 0 = x'_t$ *for all* $t > n$, *and furthermore*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(a)} & F_i \cdot x_t = [t+1]_i \, x_{t+1}, & E_i \cdot x_t = [n-(t-1)]_i \, x_{t-1} \\ \text{(b)} & x'_t \cdot E_i = [t+1]_i \, x'_{t+1}, & x'_t \cdot F_i = [n-(t-1)]_i \, x'_{t-1} \end{array}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, n$. *Thus* $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ *is a basis for* $\Delta(n)$ *and* $\{x'_0, \dots, x'_n\}$ *is a basis for* $\Delta(n)^*$, *and both* $\Delta(n)$ *and* $\Delta(n)^*$ *are simple* **S**-*modules.*

Proof. We have $F_i \cdot F_i^{(t)} 1_n = [t+1]_i F_i^{(t+1)} 1_n$ for all $t \geqslant 0$ by definition of the quantized divided powers. This gives the first formula in (a). If $t > n$ then $F_i^{(t)} 1_n = 1_{n-2t} F_i^{(t)}$ by 1.6(c), and by 2.6(a) it follows that $1_{n-2t} F_i^{(t)} \in \mathbf{S}[\rhd\lambda]$ and hence that $x_t = 0$. From Lemma 1.9 and the defining relations 1.6 we have

$$E_i \cdot F_i^{(t)} 1_n = F_i^{(t)} 1_{n+2} E_i + [n-(t-1)]_i F_i^{(t-1)} 1_n$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. This implies the second formula in (a). The elements $x_0, \dots, x_m$ have distinct left weights, so they are linearly independent. They clearly span $\Delta(n)$, and hence form a basis of $\Delta(n)$. The formulas in (a) then imply that $\Delta(n)$ is generated, as an **S**-module, by any one of its basis elements x_t, for any $0 \leqslant t \leqslant m$. Thus $\Delta(n)$ is a simple module.

The formulas in (b) can be proved by applying the anti-involution $*$ to the formulas in (a), and the rest of the claims about $\Delta(n)^*$ follow by similar arguments. ☐

4.2. **Lemma.** *The natural map* $\Delta(n) \otimes \Delta(n)^* \to \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq n]/\mathbf{S}[\rhd n]$, *defined by sending* $x \otimes x'$ *to* xx', *is surjective.*

Proof. This is clear, since $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq n]/\mathbf{S}[\rhd n]$ is spanned by the set of cosets of the form $F^{(b)} 1_n E^{(a)} + \mathbf{S}[\rhd n]$ such that $a, b \geqslant 0$. ☐

At this point we have a simple left **S**-module $\Delta(n)$ for each $n \in \pi$. We can now prove our main result of this section, which classifies the simple modules and shows that the algebra **S** is semisimple.

4.3. **Proposition.** *In the rank one case, the algebra* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ *is semisimple, and a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple* **S**-*modules is given by* $\{\Delta(n) \colon n \in \pi\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.12 (see also Remarks 2.13) it follows that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} = \sum_{n \in \pi} \dim \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq n]/\mathbf{S}[\rhd n] \leqslant \sum_{n \in \pi} (\dim \Delta(n))^2$$

(all dimensions are over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$). On the other hand, since the $\Delta(n)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple modules (indeed, no two of them have the same highest weight), the standard theory of finite dimensional algebras implies that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} \geqslant \sum_{n\in\pi} (\dim \Delta(n))^2.$$

It follows that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} = \sum_{n\in\pi} (\dim \Delta(n))^2$$

and thus that $\mathbf{S}$ is semisimple, with simple modules as stated. □

4.4. The map $\Delta(n) \otimes \Delta(n)^* \to \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq n]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright n]$ in Lemma 4.2 is actually an isomorphism of $\mathbf{S}$-bimodules, for each $n \in \pi$. If n is maximal in π this follows by observing that the spanning set of nonzero $F^{(b)}1_nE^{(a)}$ in $\mathbf{S}^-1_n\mathbf{S}^+$ is also linearly independent, since the elements have distinct biweights. In general, one can project into $\mathbf{S}(\pi_n)$, where $\pi_n = \{m \in \pi \colon m \trianglelefteq n\}$.

Combined with the results in Section 2, this shows that $\mathbf{S}$ satisfies König and Xi's definition of cellular algebra in 1.16. More precisely, we fix a flag $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=0}^m$ of cosaturated subsets of π, putting $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}[\Phi_j]$ for each j. The flag determines a complete ordering $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$ of π such that $\Phi_j = \{n_1, \dots, n_j\}$ for all j. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{S}'_j$ for each j. Here each $\mathbf{S}'_j = u'_j\mathbf{S}^-1_{n_j}\mathbf{S}^+u'_j$, where $u'_j = 1_{n_j} + 1_{n_j-2} + \cdots + 1_{-n_j+2} + 1_{-n_j}$. Then the chain of ideals $(0) = \mathbf{S}_0 \subset \mathbf{S}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{S}_{m-1} \subset \mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{S}$ is a defining cell chain of $\mathbf{S}$. Furthermore, the set

(a) $$\{F_i^{(b)}1_{n_j}E_i^{(a)} \colon 0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant n_j\}$$

is a basis for $\mathbf{S}'_j$ such that $(F_i^{(b)}1_{n_j}E_i^{(a)})^* = F_i^{(a)}1_{n_j}E_i^{(b)}$ for all $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant n_j$. (Notice that $F_i^{(b)}1_{n_j}E_i^{(a)} = u'_jF_i^{(b)}1_{n_j}E_i^{(a)}u'_j$ whenever $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant n_j$.) It follows that the set

(b) $$\textstyle\bigsqcup_{n\in\pi}\{F_i^{(b)}1_nE_i^{(a)} \colon 0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant n\}$$

is a basis for $\mathbf{S}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. It is easy to check that this basis is actually a cellular basis, in the sense of Graham and Lehrer [27].

4.5. It is interesting to compare the cellular basis of 4.4 to Lusztig's canonical basis of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Let $n \in \pi$. If $a + b \geqslant n$ then

(a) $$F_i^{(b)}1_nE_i^{(a)} = \textstyle\sum_{t\geqslant 0} \begin{bmatrix} a+b-n \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{(a-t)}1_{n-2(a+b-t)}F_i^{(b-t)}.$$

This follows from Lemma 1.9 by an easy calculation. This shows that for all $a + b \geqslant n$ the element $F_i^{(b)}1_nE_i^{(a)}$ is equal to the element $E_i^{(n-b)}1_{-n}F_i^{(n-a)} = E_i^{(a')}1_{-n}F_i^{(b')}$ modulo terms in $\mathbf{S}[\triangleright n]$, where $a' = n - b$, $b' = n - a$. Note that the condition $a + b \geqslant n$ is equivalent to the condition $a' + b' \leqslant n$. Thus we obtain a different cellular basis of $\mathbf{S}$ of the form

(b) $$\textstyle\bigsqcup_{n\in\pi} \left(\{F_i^{(b)}1_nE_i^{(a)} \colon a + b \leqslant n\} \cup \{E_i^{(a')}1_{-n}F_i^{(b')} \colon a' + b' \leqslant n\}\right)$$

which has a unitriangular relation with the original basis. Note that the union in (b) is not disjoint: when $a+b=n$ we have from (a) the equality $F_i^{(b)}1_nE_i^{(a)} = E_i^{(a)}1_{-n}F_i^{(b)}$. The basis in (b) is the same as Lusztig's canonical basis in rank one; compare with Prop. 25.3.2 in [44].

5. Quantum Serre relations

Whenever the rank $|I|$ of the underlying root datum is at least 2, certain relations among the negative part generators F_i $(i \in I)$ must hold in any $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$, and exactly the same relations must hold among the positive part generators E_i $(i \in I)$. The relations are known as the quantum Serre relations, since they are quantum analogues of the usual Serre relations in the universal enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra. They arise in the present context as consequences of the defining relations for $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$.

5.1. Throughout this section we assume $|I| \geqslant 2$ and fix a finite saturated set $\pi \subset X^+$, and set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. Fix some $i \in I$. Let $\pi^{(i)}$ be the set of all integers $\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle \geqslant 0$ such that $\lambda \in W\pi$. If $0 \leqslant n = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle$ is in $\pi^{(i)}$ then so are all nonnegative integers in the set $\{n, n-2, \dots, -(n-2), -n\}$. Thus $\pi^{(i)}$ is a saturated set of nonnegative integers for a rank 1 root datum as considered in the preceding section. The Weyl group in this rank 1 situation may be regarded as the multiplicative group $\{-1, 1\}$ acting by multiplication and we write $\pm\pi^{(i)}$ short for $-\pi^{(i)} \cup \pi^{(i)}$. For any $n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}$ we define

(a) $$1_n = \sum_{\lambda \in W\pi\colon\ \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle = n} 1_\lambda$$

regarded as an element of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. Let $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by E_i, F_i, and the 1_n $(n \in \pm\pi^{(i)})$.

5.2. **Proposition.** *The subalgebra $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to the associative algebra with* 1 *given by the generators E_i, F_i and $\{1_n \colon n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}\}$ and subject to the relations*

(a) $1_m1_n = \delta_{m,n}1_n$ *for all* $m, n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}$; $\sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} 1_n = 1$;
(b) $E_iF_i - F_iE_i = \sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} [n]_i 1_n$;
(c) $E_i1_n = 1_{n+2}E_i$, $1_nE_i = 1_{n-2}E_i$, $F_i1_n = 1_{n-2}F_i$, $1_nF_i = F_i1_{n+2}$

where in the right hand side of (c) *we interpret* $1_{n\pm2} = 0$ *whenever* $n \pm 2 \notin \pm\pi^{(i)}$. *Thus,* $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ *is isomorphic to a rank* 1 *algebra determined by the saturated set* $\pi^{(i)}$ *and a rank* 1 *root datum.*

Proof. Checking that relations (a)–(c) hold in $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is trivial from the definitions and left to the reader. The fact that these relations hold in $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ implies that $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is a homomorphic image of the rank 1 algebra defined by the set $\pi^{(i)}$ and a rank

1 root datum. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is semisimple. To finish the argument, we need to compare dimensions. For this it is enough to show that $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ has at least as many simple modules as its rank 1 covering algebra.

Let $n \in \pi^{(i)}$. By definition of $\pi^{(i)}$, there is some $\lambda \in W\pi$ such that $n = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle$. If $\lambda \in \pi$ then we may regard $\Delta(\lambda)$ as an $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-module by restriction. The generating maximal vector $x_0 \in \Delta(\lambda)$ is still maximal for the action of $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$, and hence the $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-submodule it generates is a simple module of highest weight n. If $\lambda \notin \pi$ then there is some $\lambda' \in \pi$ and some $1 \neq w \in W$ such that $\lambda = w(\lambda')$. We may regard the ideal $J = \mathbf{S}(\pi_{\lambda'})1_{\lambda'}\mathbf{S}(\pi_{\lambda'})$ as an $\mathbf{S}$-module via the natural quotient map $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_{\lambda'})$. The weight λ is by assumption on the positive side of the reflecting hyperplane for the simple root α_i, so $\lambda + \alpha_i \notin W\pi$ and thus the vector $1_\lambda \in J$ is killed by the action of E_i. This implies that the $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-submodule of J generated by 1_λ is a simple module of highest weight n. □

5.3. We will need to consider the elements $K_h = \sum_{\lambda\in W\pi} v^{\langle h,\,\lambda\rangle}\, 1_\lambda$ (any $h \in X^\vee$) defined in 3.1(b). Observe that $K_0 = 1$ and $K_h K_{h'} = K_{h+h'}$ for any $h, h' \in X^\vee$. Consequently K_h is invertible and $K_h^{-1} = K_{-h}$. Since $K_h 1_\lambda = v^{\langle h,\,\lambda\rangle}\, 1_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in W\pi$ it is clear that the K_h act semisimply on any finite dimensional $\mathbf{S}$-module.

We are particularly interested in the elements $\overline{K}_i = K_{d_i\alpha_i^\vee}$, $\overline{K}_i^{-1} = K_{-d_i\alpha_i^\vee}$ for our fixed $i \in I$. (See 1.2 for the definition of d_i.) We have

$$\text{(a)}\qquad \overline{K}_i = \textstyle\sum_{\lambda\in W\pi} v_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\,\lambda\rangle}\, 1_\lambda\,; \qquad \overline{K}_i^{-1} = \textstyle\sum_{\lambda\in W\pi} v_i^{-\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\,\lambda\rangle}\, 1_\lambda$$

and from the defining relations 1.6(b) and the definition of $[n]_i$ in 1.5 we obtain the relations

$$\text{(b)}\qquad E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{\overline{K}_i - \overline{K}_i^{-1}}{v_i - v_i^{-1}}$$

$$\text{(c)}\qquad \overline{K}_iE_j\overline{K}_i^{-1} = v_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\,\alpha_j\rangle}E_j\,; \quad \overline{K}_iF_j\overline{K}_i^{-1} = v_i^{-\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\,\alpha_j\rangle}F_j$$

which hold in the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$, for any $j \in I$. From (a) and the definition of the 1_n we also have

$$\text{(d)}\qquad \overline{K}_i = \sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} v_i^n\, 1_n; \qquad \overline{K}_i^{-1} = \sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} v_i^{-n}\, 1_n;$$

this shows that $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is generated by the four elements E_i, F_i, $\overline{K}_i$, $\overline{K}_i^{-1}$. A simple direct calculation shows that for each $n \in \pi^{(i)}$ we have

$$\text{(e)}\qquad 1_n = \prod_{m\in\pm\pi^{(i)}:\ m\neq n} \frac{\overline{K}_i - v_i^m}{v_i^n - v_i^m}.$$

This is needed in the proof of the following alternative presentation of the algebra $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$.

5.4. **Proposition.** *The algebra $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to the associative algebra with 1 given by the generators E_i, F_i, $\overline{K}_i$, $\overline{K}_i^{-1}$ and subject to the relations*

(a) $\overline{K}_i\overline{K}_i^{-1} = 1$; $\quad E_iF_i - F_iE_i = \dfrac{\overline{K}_i - \overline{K}_i^{-1}}{v_i - v_i^{-1}}$;

(b) $\overline{K}_iE_i\overline{K}_i^{-1} = v_i^2E_i$; $\quad \overline{K}_iF_i\overline{K}_i^{-1} = v_i^{-2}F_i$;

(c) $\prod_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} (\overline{K}_i - v_i^n) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ be the algebra given by the presentation in Proposition 5.2, and let Z be the algebra given by the presentation of the current proposition. Define an algebra map ψ from $\mathbf{S}^{(i)} \to Z$ by sending E_i to E_i, F_i to F_i, and 1_n to $\prod_{m\in\pm\pi^{(i)}\colon\, m\neq n} \frac{\overline{K}_i - v_i^m}{v_i^n - v_i^m}$. Then check that the elements $\psi(E_i)$, $\psi(F_i)$, and $\psi(1_n)$ for $n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}$ satisfy the defining relations (a)–(c) in 5.2.

On the other hand, define an algebra map ψ' from $Z \to \mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ by sending E_i to E_i, F_i to F_i, $\overline{K}_i$ to $\sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} v_i^n\, 1_n$, and $\overline{K}_i^{-1}$ to $\sum_{n\in\pm\pi^{(i)}} v_i^{-n}\, 1_n$. Verify that the elements $\psi'(E_i)$, $\psi'(F_i)$, $\psi'(\overline{K}_i)$, $\psi'(\overline{K}_i^{-1})$ satisfy the defining relations (a)–(c) given above. The result follows. □

5.5. From 5.3(d) we have $\overline{K}_i1_n = v_i^n1_n$ for each $n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}$. From this it follows easily that if M is an arbitrary $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-module then

(a) $$1_nM = \{x \in M\colon \overline{K}_ix = v_i^n\, x\}.$$

Thus, we can define weight vectors of weight n in an $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-module M as elements $x \in M$ which are fixed under left multiplication by 1_n, or equivalently as eigenvectors for the operator $\overline{K}_i$ belonging to the eigenvalue v_i^n.

Now we define $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-linear endomorphisms $\operatorname{ad}\overline{K}_i$, $\operatorname{ad}\overline{K}_i^{-1}$, $\operatorname{ad}E_i$, and $\operatorname{ad}F_i$ of the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ by the rules:

(b) $$(\operatorname{ad}\overline{K}_i)x = \overline{K}_ix\overline{K}_i^{-1}\,; \quad (\operatorname{ad}\overline{K}_i^{-1})x = \overline{K}_i^{-1}x\overline{K}_i$$

(c) $$(\operatorname{ad}E_i)x = E_ix - (\overline{K}_ix\overline{K}_i^{-1})E_i\,; \quad (\operatorname{ad}F_i)x = (F_ix - xF_i)\overline{K}_i.$$

for any $x \in \mathbf{S}$, where the products on the right hand side of each equation take place in the algebra $\mathbf{S}$. We claim that these endomorphisms satisfy the defining relations of $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ given in Proposition 5.4. This is verified by direct calculation, left to the reader. It follows that these endomorphisms define an $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-module structure on the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$.

5.6. Now we can derive the quantum Serre relations. Taking $x = E_j$ for $j \neq i$, we have from 5.5(b), (c) and the relations 5.3(b), (c) that

(a) $$(\operatorname{ad}\overline{K}_i)E_j = v_i^{\langle\alpha_i^\vee,\,\alpha_j\rangle}E_j\,; \quad (\operatorname{ad}F_i)E_j = 0.$$

From this it follows that E_j is a *lowest* weight vector of weight $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$ for the adjoint action of $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$.

The classification of rank 1 representations worked out in Section 4 tells us that the $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-submodule of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by E_j is isomorphic to $\Delta(-a_{ij})$. In particular, $(\operatorname{ad} E_i)^{-a_{ij}} E_j$ is a highest weight vector in the module, and thus

$$\text{(b)} \qquad (\operatorname{ad} E_i)^{1-a_{ij}} E_j = 0.$$

On the other hand, one can show by an easy induction on r that for any r we have

$$\text{(c)} \qquad (\operatorname{ad} E_i)^r E_j = \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{r-s} E_j E_i^s.$$

This leads to the following result.

5.7. **Theorem.** *Let the root datum be of rank at least* 2*. Let* π *be an arbitrary finite saturated subset of* X^+ *and let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$*. For any* $i, j \in I$ *with* $i \neq j$ *the quantum Serre relations*

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} E_j E_i^s = 0;$$

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i F_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} F_j F_i^s = 0$$

hold in the algebra $\mathbf{S}$*, where* $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$*.*

Proof. To get (a) we put $r = 1 - a_{ij}$ and combine 5.6(b) and (c). To get (b), we let $\pi' = -w_0(\pi)$ and consider the algebra isomorphism $\omega_{\pi'}$ defined in 1.7, which takes $\mathbf{S}(\pi')$ isomorphically onto $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ and interchanges E_j with F_j for all $j \in I$. When the isomorphism is applied to relation (a) above, which holds in the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi')$, we obtain the relation (b) in the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$. □

6. The general case

Now we return to the case of a general root datum. We put $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ where π is a finite saturated subset of X^+. We have already shown the existence and uniqueness (up to isomorphism) of simple modules of highest weight λ, for each $\lambda \in \pi$. First we finish the classification of the simple $\mathbf{S}$-modules, by showing that there are no others besides the ones constructed thus far. Then we show that $\mathbf{S}$ is cellular. The argument is nearly self-contained, but we do need two well known facts from the representation theory of complex semisimple Lie algebras: the classification of the finite dimensional simple modules, and Weyl's theorem on complete reducibility.

Given any fixed $i \in I$, let $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ be the rank 1 subalgebra (see 5.1) of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by E_i, F_i along with all 1_n for $n \in \pm\pi^{(i)}$.

6.1. **Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$*. If* M *is a simple left* $\mathbf{S}$*-module then the weight of a highest weight vector must be some dominant weight* $\lambda \in \pi$*, and thus* M *is isomorphic to the simple quotient of* $\Delta(\lambda)$*.*

Proof. Let x_0 be a highest weight vector, of weight some $\lambda \in W\pi$. For each $i \in I$ we restrict the action of $\mathbf{S}$ on M to the rank 1 subalgebra $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$. Then x_0 is an $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-maximal vector of weight $n = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle$, so by Lemma 3.3 the $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-submodule it generates has a unique simple quotient. By Proposition 4.3, $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ is a semisimple algebra, so in fact that submodule is already simple as a $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$-module, and thus $n = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda\rangle \geqslant 0$. Since this holds for each $i \in I$, we have shown that λ is a dominant weight. In other words, $\lambda \in W\pi \cap X^+ = \pi$, as desired. □

This result completes the classification of the simple $\mathbf{S}$-modules. For each $\lambda \in \pi$, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) simple $\mathbf{S}$-module, which appears as the unique simple quotient of $\Delta(\lambda)$, and this gives a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbf{S}$-modules.

Next, we use a deformation type of argument to show that in fact the $\Delta(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \pi$ are all simple. The argument uses the specialization $v \mapsto 1$ in the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. Specialization is considered in a more general context in Section 7.

6.2. **Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$*. If* λ *is a maximal element in* π *(with respect to the partial order* $\trianglelefteq$*) then the left ideal* $\Delta(\lambda) = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda$ *is a simple* $\mathbf{S}$*-module of highest weight* λ*. The formal character of* $\Delta(\lambda)$ *is given by Weyl's character formula.*

Proof. (Similar to Sections 5.12–5.15 of [34].) Put $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Let $L(\lambda)$ be the simple quotient of $\Delta(\lambda)$. Clearly $L(\lambda)$ is generated by a highest weight vector of weight λ. Throughout the following argument, we let V be either $\Delta(\lambda)$ or $L(\lambda)$. Then $V = \mathbf{S}^- x_0$ where x_0 is a maximal vector in V, so V is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-linear span of elements of the form $F_B x_0$ for various sequences $B = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ in I^*. Write $\mathrm{wt}(B) = \sum_j \alpha_{i_j}$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.10. Let ${}_AV$ be the ${}_A\mathbf{S}$-submodule of V generated by the maximal vector x_0. Then

$$ {}_AV = \sum_B AF_Bx_0 \quad \text{and} \quad {}_AV_\mu = \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(B)=\lambda-\mu} AF_Bx_0 $$

for any $\mu \in W\pi$. As A-modules, both ${}_AV$ and ${}_AV_\mu$ are finitely generated and torsion free. Hence both ${}_AV$ and ${}_AV_\mu$ are free of finite rank over A. Clearly ${}_AV = \sum_\mu {}_AV_\mu$ so we get that

$$ {}_AV = \bigoplus_{\mu \in W\pi} {}_AV_\mu. $$

Now, the natural map $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_AV_\mu) \to V_\mu$ is surjective, for any $\mu \in W\pi$, since V_μ is spanned by all $F_B x_0$ with $\mathrm{wt}(B) = \lambda - \mu$. (Here we are writing V_μ for the weight space $1_\mu V$ in V.) Since A is an integral domain with fraction field $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, by §7.10 of [2] the natural map $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_AV_\mu) \to V_\mu$ is injective as well, hence is an isomorphism. It follows that a basis for ${}_AV_\mu$ over A is also a basis of V_μ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, and thus

$$\mathrm{rk}_A({}_AV_\mu) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} V_\mu \quad (\text{any } \mu \in W\pi).$$

We claim now that the A-module ${}_AV$ is stable under the action of the E_j, F_j, and 1_μ for any $j \in I$ and any $\mu \in W\pi$. This is obvious in the case of the F_j and 1_μ, since

$$F_j(F_B x_0) \in V_A \quad \text{and} \quad 1_\mu(F_B x_0) = F_B 1_{\mu+\mathrm{wt}(B)} x_0$$

is zero if $\mu + \mathrm{wt}(B) \neq \lambda$ and is $F_B x_0$ if $\mu + \mathrm{wt}(B) = \lambda$. Moreover, for the E_j we have by the defining relations 1.6 (b), (c) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_j F_B &= E_j(F_{i_r} \cdots F_{i_1} x_0) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leqslant a \leqslant r;\, i_a = j} F_{i_r} \cdots F_{i_{a+1}} \sum_{\mu \in W\pi} [\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \mu\rangle]_j 1_\mu F_{i_{a-1}} \cdots F_{i_1} x_0 \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by the preceding remarks and the fact that $[\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\ \mu\rangle]_j \in A$ for any $\mu \in W\pi$, $j \in I$.

Now there is a unique homomorphism φ of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras mapping $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfying $\varphi(v) = 1$. Regard $\mathbb{C}$ as an A-module via φ, and put

$$\overline{V} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_A ({}_AV) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{V}_\mu = \mathbb{C} \otimes_A ({}_AV_\mu)$$

for any $\mu \in W\pi$. Then we have the direct sum decomposition $\overline{V} = \bigoplus_\mu \overline{V}_\mu$, where each $\overline{V}_\mu$ is a complex vector space with

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V}_\mu = \mathrm{rk}_A({}_AV_\mu) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} V_\mu.$$

The actions of E_i, F_i, and 1_μ on V_A yield linear endomorphisms of $\overline{V}$ that we denote by e_i, f_i, and ι_μ. We put

$$\overline{h}_i = \textstyle\sum_{\mu \in W\pi} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu$$

for any $i \in I$.

We claim that the endomorphisms $e_i, f_i, \overline{h}_i$ satisfy Serre's presentation for the finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (see 1.3) defined by the Cartan datum. Since the idempotent linear operators ι_λ commute and are pairwise orthogonal, it follows that $\overline{h}_i$ commutes with $\overline{h}_j$; thus

$$[\overline{h}_i, \overline{h}_j] = 0, \quad \text{any } i, i \in I.$$

We have $\varphi([a]_i) = [a]_{v=1} = a$ for any integer a and any $i \in I$, so from defining relations 1.6(b) for the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ we have

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \textstyle\sum_{\mu \in W\pi} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu = \overline{h}_i.$$

Recalling the convention that $1_\mu = 0$ for any $\mu \notin W\pi$ we put also $\iota_\mu = 0$ for any $\mu \notin W\pi$. Then we can write $\overline{h}_i = \sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu$ (which is still a finite sum) and by defining relation 1.6(c) we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\overline{h}_i, e_j] &= \overline{h}_i e_j - e_j \overline{h}_i = \textstyle\sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu e_j - \sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle e_j \iota_\mu \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu e_j - \sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_{\mu+\alpha_j} e_j\end{aligned}$$

and by replacing μ by $\mu - \alpha_j$ in the second sum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[\overline{h}_i, e_j] &= \textstyle\sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu\rangle \iota_\mu e_j - \sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \mu - \alpha_j\rangle \iota_\mu e_j \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\mu\in X} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j\rangle \iota_\mu e_j \\ &= \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j\rangle e_j\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the second part of relation 1.6(a) to get the last line. A similar calculation proves that

$$[\overline{h}_i, f_j] = -\langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j\rangle f_j.$$

Finally, we have

$$\varphi\big(\big[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ n \end{smallmatrix}\big]_i\big) = \big[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ n \end{smallmatrix}\big]_{v=1} = \big(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ n \end{smallmatrix}\big)$$

for any integers a, n with $n \geqslant 0$. Thus the quantum Serre relations in Theorem 5.7 imply that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \tbinom{1-a_{ij}}{s} e_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} e_j e_i^s = 0 \quad (i \neq j);$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \tbinom{1-a_{ij}}{s} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} f_j f_i^s = 0 \quad (i \neq j)$$

where $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \alpha_j\rangle$. Thus the claim is proved.

Now let x_i, y_i, h_i $(i \in I)$ be a Chevalley system of generators for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then by the claim of the preceding paragraph it follows that the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\overline{V})$ given by $x_i \to e_i$, $y_i \to f_i$, $h_i \to \overline{h}_i$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, so $\overline{V}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

All of the preceding discussion applies equally well to $V = L(\lambda)$ or $V = \Delta(\lambda) = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda$. In either case we now see easily that $\overline{V}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module of highest weight λ. This follows from Weyl's theorem on complete reducibility of finite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras, which implies that $\overline{V}$ is completely reducible, and the observation that $\overline{V}$ is (in both cases under consideration) generated by a maximal vector, and hence has a unique simple quotient.

It follows that the weight space dimensions in $\overline{V}$ are given by Weyl's character formula, in both cases $V = L(\lambda)$ and $V = \Delta(\lambda)$. In particular, this shows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} L(\lambda) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \Delta(\lambda).$$

Since $L(\lambda)$ is a homomorphic image of $\Delta(\lambda)$, it follows that $L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$ and we have obtained the result. $\square$

Note that it follows immediately from the preceding theorem that $1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+ = (\mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda)^*$ is a simple right ideal in $\mathbf{S}$, for any maximal element λ in π.

6.3. **Corollary.** *For any $\lambda \in \pi$, the modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)^*$ are simple as left and right $\mathbf{S}$-modules. The formal character of $\Delta(\lambda)$ is given by Weyl's character formula, for each $\lambda \in \pi$.*

Proof. This follows from the theorem by 3.7. The theorem shows the result holds in $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)$, where $\pi_\lambda = \{\mu \in X^+ \colon \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda\}$. Then the independence statement in 3.7 shows that ${}_{\mathbf{S}(\pi)}\Delta(\lambda) \simeq {}_{\mathbf{S}(\pi_\lambda)}\Delta(\lambda)$. $\square$

Now that we know the $\Delta(\lambda)$ are simple modules, we are ready to prove that the algebra $\mathbf{S}$ is semisimple.

6.4. **Proposition.** *The algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ is semisimple, and a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbf{S}$-modules is given by $\{\Delta(\lambda) \colon \lambda \in \pi\}$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 4.3. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.12 (see also Remarks 2.13) it follows that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} = \sum_{\lambda \in \pi} \dim \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/\mathbf{S}[\vartriangleright\lambda] \leqslant \sum_{\lambda \in \pi} (\dim \Delta(\lambda))^2$$

where all dimensions are over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. On the other hand, since the $\Delta(\lambda)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple modules (indeed, no two of them has the same highest weight), the standard theory of finite dimensional algebras implies that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} \geqslant \sum_{\lambda \in \pi} (\dim \Delta(\lambda))^2.$$

It follows that

$$\dim \mathbf{S} = \sum_{\lambda \in \pi} (\dim \Delta(\lambda))^2$$

and thus that $\mathbf{S}$ is semisimple, with simple modules as stated. $\square$

6.5. It remains to show that $\mathbf{S}$ is a cellular algebra. We utilize the anti-involution $*$ in order to define a bilinear form[1] on $\Delta(\mu)$, for any μ in π. Put $x_0 = 1_\mu + \mathbf{S}[\vartriangleright\mu]$ in the left $\mathbf{S}$-module $M = \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]/\mathbf{S}[\vartriangleright\mu]$. By definition, $\Delta(\mu)$ is the left submodule generated by x_0. This submodule is spanned by elements of the form $F_B x_0$, where $B \in I^*$. If $F_D x_0$ is another such element then

(a) $$(F_D)^* \cdot (F_B x_0) \in 1_{\mu - \mathrm{wt}(B) + \mathrm{wt}(D)} \Delta(\mu).$$

---

[1] One might prefer to define a bilinear pairing between $\Delta(\mu)$ and $\Delta(\mu)^*$ instead.

Thus it follows that

(b) $$1_\mu(F_D)^* \cdot (F_B x_0) = 1_\mu(F_D)^* F_B 1_\mu + \mathbf{S}[\rhd\mu] \in 1_\mu\Delta(\mu)$$

is zero unless $\mathrm{wt}(B) = \mathrm{wt}(D)$. It follows that in either case there must be some scalar $c_{B,D} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ (necessarily zero if $\mathrm{wt}(B) \neq \mathrm{wt}(D)$) such that

(c) $$1_\mu(F_D)^* F_B 1_\mu = c_{B,D}\, 1_\mu \pmod{\mathbf{S}[\rhd\mu]}.$$

Now the promised bilinear form $\varphi_\mu \colon \Delta(\mu) \times \Delta(\mu) \to \mathbb{Q}(v)$ is defined by setting

(d) $$\varphi_\mu(F_B x_0, F_D x_0) = c_{B,D}$$

and extending bilinearly. We immediately record the following important properties of the bilinear form.

6.6. **Lemma.** *Let $\mu \in \pi$. For any $x, y \in \Delta(\mu)$ we have:*

(a) $\varphi_\mu(x, y) = \varphi_\mu(y, x)$;

(b) $\varphi_\mu(u\, x, y) = \varphi_\mu(x, u^*\, y)$, *for any $u \in \mathbf{S}$.*

Proof. Applying the anti-involution $*$ to equation 6.5(c) proves that $c_{B,D} = c_{D,B}$ and the assertion in part (a) follows. Part (b) follows from the calculation

$$1_\mu\,(F_D)^*\, uF_B\, 1_\mu = 1_\mu\,(F_D)^*\, u^{**}\, F_B\, 1_\mu = 1_\mu\,(u^*F_D)^*\, F_B\, 1_\mu$$

which holds modulo $\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]$. ☐

The preceding lemma implies that the radical of the bilinear form, given by

$$\operatorname{rad}\varphi_\mu = \{x \in \Delta(\mu) \colon \varphi_\mu(x, y) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in \Delta(\mu)\},$$

is an $\mathbf{S}$-submodule of $\Delta(\mu)$. Since $\varphi_\mu(x_0, x_0) = 1$, we see that this submodule is proper, hence contained in the module theoretic radical of $\Delta(\mu)$. By Theorem 6.2 we conclude that when $\lambda \in \pi$ is a maximal element, then the radical of φ_λ must be zero. In other words, the form φ_λ is nondegenerate, for any maximal λ maximal in π. Then by 3.7 it follows that in fact φ_μ is nondegenerate for any $\mu \in \pi$. The nondegeneracy of the bilinear form now gives the following result.

6.7. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. For any maximal λ in π, the natural map $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} 1_\lambda\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$ induced by multiplication is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{S}$-bimodules.*

Proof. The multiplication map $m : \mathbf{S}1_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} 1_\lambda\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$ is clearly a surjective homomorphism of $\mathbf{S}$-bimodules, so we only need to prove injectivity. We fix bases

$$\{x1_\lambda \colon x \in \mathbf{S}^-\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{1_\lambda y \colon y \in \mathbf{S}^+\}$$

for $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda$ and $1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$, respectively. Then the set of tensors $\{x1_\lambda \otimes 1_\lambda y\}$ is a basis for $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$, so it suffices to show that the corresponding set of images $\{x1_\lambda y\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. Assume that scalars $\gamma_{x,y} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ exist so that

$$0 = \textstyle\sum_{x,y} \gamma_{x,y}\, x1_\lambda y$$

where the sum is taken over the set of pairs (x, y) such that x and y independently range over the above basis elements. Let $\{x'1_\lambda\}$ be the dual basis to $\{x1_\lambda\}$ with respect to the bilinear form φ_λ, defined by the requirement $\varphi_\lambda(x'1_\lambda, x1_\lambda) = \delta_{x,x'}$.

Fix some z such that $z1_\lambda$ is one of the above basis elements of $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda$. Then by left multiplication by $1_\lambda(z')^*$ we obtain

$$0 = \textstyle\sum_{x,y} \gamma_{x,y}\, 1_\lambda(z')^* x 1_\lambda y = \sum_{x,y} \gamma_{x,y}\, \delta_{x,z} 1_\lambda y = \sum_y \gamma_{z,y}\, 1_\lambda y$$

and by linear independence of the $\{1_\lambda y\}$ it follows that $\gamma_{z,y} = 0$ for any y. Since z was arbitrary, this proves the desired linear independence, and thus the result. □

6.8. **Corollary.** *For any $\mu \in \pi$, the natural map $\Delta(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \Delta(\mu)^* \to \mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu]/\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\mu]$ induced by multiplication is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{S}$-bimodules.*

Proof. By the independence statement in 3.7 it suffices to prove this in the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$, in which case it is the preceding result. □

6.9. **Theorem.** *For any finite saturated subset π of X^+, the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ is cellular with respect to the anti-involution $*$, with defining cell chain given by $\{\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\mu] \colon \mu \in \pi\}$ partially ordered by set inclusion.*

Proof. We follow the approach of König and Xi, as discussed in 1.16. We fix some flag

$$\emptyset = \Phi_0 \subset \Phi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_{m-1} \subset \Phi_m = \pi$$

of cosaturated subsets of π, and put $\{\lambda_j\} = \Phi_j - \Phi_{j-1}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. We also put $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}[\Phi_j]$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$0 = \mathbf{S}_0 \subset \mathbf{S}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{S}_{m-1} \subset \mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{S}$$

is a chain of two-sided ideals of $\mathbf{S}$, and by Corollary 2.5 we have $\mathbf{S}_j^* = \mathbf{S}_j$ for all j.

By Proposition 2.12 we have a vector space decomposition $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{S}'_m$ where each $(\mathbf{S}'_j)^* = \mathbf{S}'_j$ and $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{S}'_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. To finish, we must check that each $\mathbf{S}'_j \simeq \mathbf{S}_j/\mathbf{S}_{j-1}$ is a cell ideal in $\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}_{j-1}$. Let σ_j be the inverse of the isomorphism in Corollary 6.8. Then one verifies from the definitions that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S}_j/\mathbf{S}_{j-1} \arrow[r, "\sigma_j"'] \arrow[d, "*"'] & \Delta(\lambda_j) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \Delta(\lambda_j)^* \arrow[d, "x\otimes y \mapsto y^* \otimes x^*"] \\
\mathbf{S}_j/\mathbf{S}_{j-1} \arrow[r, "\sigma_j"'] & \Delta(\lambda_j) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \Delta(\lambda_j)^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and the result is proved. □

6.10. It is a general fact that the defining cell chain in the preceding result can be used to inductively build a cellular basis of the algebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$. This is implicit in the proof of the equivalence of the König and Xi definition of cellularity with the original definition of Graham and Lehrer; see the discussion in the last paragraph of 1.16.

Let us look carefully at the details, since finding cellular bases of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ is one of the goals of this paper. Fix some $\lambda \in \pi$ which is maximal with respect to $\trianglerighteq$. Then the ideal $\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^1 1_\lambda \mathbf{S}^+$ is a cell ideal in $\mathbf{S}$. Choose any basis $\{b1_\lambda \colon b \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$ of the left ideal $\Delta(\lambda) = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda = \mathbf{S}^- 1_\lambda$. Here $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ is an appropriate subset of $\mathbf{S}^-$. Then $\{(b1_\lambda)^* = 1_\lambda b^* \colon b \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$ is a basis of the right ideal $\Delta(\lambda)^* = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S} = 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$. For any pair b, b' of elements of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ observe that $b' 1_\lambda b^*$ is the inverse image of $b'1_\lambda \otimes 1_\lambda b^*$ under the isomorphism in Lemma 6.7. Thus

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \{b' 1_\lambda b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda\}$$

is a cellular basis of the cell ideal $J = \mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}$, in the sense of [27]. By induction of the length of the defining cell chain we have a cellular basis of the (cellular) quotient $\mathbf{S}/J$. Choosing any preimages in $\mathbf{S}$ of the cellular basis elements of $\mathbf{S}/J$, together with the chosen basis elements of J as above, produces a cellular basis of $\mathbf{S}$.

We wish to say more about the choice of preimages. We claim that there is a natural choice of preimages at each step of the induction. This follows from results in Section 2. Suppose that a cell chain has been fixed, determined by a flag of cosaturated subsets of π, as in the proof of Theorem 6.9. We put $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Let $\mu = \lambda_j$ be one of the weights in π, such that $\mu \neq \lambda$; i.e., $j > 1$. Then the diagram of natural quotient maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{S}/(\mathbf{S}1_\lambda\mathbf{S}) \arrow[dl] \\
\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where $\pi_\mu = \{\mu' \in X^+ \colon \mu' \trianglelefteq \mu\}$. Since μ is maximal in the saturated set π_μ we can choose a cellular basis $\{b'1_\mu b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}_\mu\}$ of the cell ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)1_\mu\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$ in the algebra $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$ by the procedure of the preceding paragraph. Then we lift this basis to the subspace $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_j\mathbf{S}^- 1_\mu \mathbf{S}^+ u_j$ of $\mathbf{S}$, in the notation of Definition 2.9. In fact, by Remark 2.13(a) we have $\mathbf{S}'_j = u_\mu \mathbf{S}^- 1_\mu \mathbf{S}^+ u_\mu$ where u_μ is the sum of all the idempotents indexed by $W\pi_\mu$. For each element $b'1_\mu b^*$ in the above basis of $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)1_\mu\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$, we lift to a corresponding element $u_\lambda b' 1_\mu b^* u_\lambda$ of $\mathbf{S}'_j$. Since the natural quotient map $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$ induces a vector space isomorphism from $\mathbf{S}'_j$ onto $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)1_\mu\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$, the element $u_\lambda b'1_\mu b^* u_\lambda$ is the *unique* element of $\mathbf{S}'_j$ which maps to $b'1_\mu b^*$. Furthermore, the biweight (see 1.10) of any monomial $F_B 1_\mu E_D$ in the ideal $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)1_\mu\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$ must be a pair (ν, ν') such that $\nu, \nu' \in W\pi_\mu$, and $b'1_\mu b^*$ is a linear combination of such monomials. Since $u_\lambda 1_\nu = 1_\nu$ and $1_{\nu'} u_\lambda = 1_{\nu'}$ for any $\nu, \nu' \in W\pi_\mu$ it follows that

$$u_\lambda b' 1_\mu b^* u_\lambda = b' 1_\mu b^* \tag{b}$$

in the algebra $\mathbf{S}$. So the isomorphism from $\mathbf{S}'_j$ onto $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu) 1_\mu \mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$ matches up elements defined by the "same" expression in terms of the generators, when written in the indicated form.

We can assume by induction that the these "same" choices of pre-images have been made in the algebra $\mathbf{S}/(\mathbf{S}1_\lambda \mathbf{S})$, for each $\mu \in \pi$ such that $\mu \neq \lambda$. Thus it follows that

$$\textstyle\bigsqcup_{\mu\in\pi} \{b' 1_\mu b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}_\mu\} \tag{c}$$

is a cellular basis of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$.

6.11. **Remark.** Since $\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)^-$ is spanned by the set $\{F_B \colon B \in I^*\}$ of monomials in the generators F_i $(i \in I)$ it is clear that $\Delta(\mu) = \mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)^- 1_\mu$ is spanned by the set of nonzero elements of the form $F_B 1_\mu$, and thus there must be a basis $\{b1_\mu \colon b \in \mathcal{T}_\mu\}$ of $\Delta(\mu)$ with the property that each $b \in \mathcal{T}_\mu$ is a monomial F_B. So we may assume that all the sets $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ in 6.10(c) are subsets of the set $\{F_B \colon B \in I^*\}$ of monomials in the F_i.

7. Specialization

We now consider integral forms of $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ and specialization. Our goal is to study k-forms of $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$, over an arbitrary field k of characteristic zero, depending on a chosen parameter $0 \neq q \in k$. Given any such q, we set $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ and regard k as an A-algebra by means of the canonical algebra homomorphism $A \to k$, defined by sending v to q. We continue to fix a saturated subset π of X^+ and put $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ as above. We will define q-versions $\mathbf{S}_q = \mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ by picking an integral lattice ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathbf{S}$ and putting $\mathbf{S}_q = k \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S})$. The algebras $\mathbf{S}_q = \mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$ so defined are q-deformations of the generalized Schur algebras introduced by Donkin in [11].

7.1. According to [2, Chapter 2, §7.10] (see Corollary 2 after Proposition 26): *If A is any integral domain and Q is its field of fractions, then for any A-submodule M of a vector space V over Q the natural map $Q \otimes_A M \to V$ (given by $\sum a_j \otimes m_j \mapsto \sum a_j m_j$) is injective.*

In the above situation, we note that M is torsion-free over A. Thus, if A is a principal ideal domain then it follows that M is free as an A-module.

7.2. **Lemma.** *Let A be an integral domain and Q its field of fractions. Suppose that M is an A-submodule of V, where V is a vector space over Q.*

(a) *The natural map $Q \otimes_A M \to V$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces if and only if M contains a subset which spans V over Q.*

(b) *Assume that* (a) *holds. If in addition M is free over A then any A-basis of M must be also a Q-basis of V (so M is a lattice in V).*

Proof. (a) The natural map $Q \otimes_A M \to V$ is surjective if and only if M contains a subset that spans V over Q.

(b) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an A-basis of M. By assumption M contains a subset $\mathcal{C}$ which spans V over Q. But each element of $\mathcal{C}$ is expressible as some A-linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}$, so V is spanned by $\mathcal{B}$ over Q.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent over Q. Suppose that

$$r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 + \cdots + r_n b_n = 0$$

in V, for $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $r_j \in Q$. Write $r_j = a_j/b_j$ for $a_j, b_j \in A$ with $b_j \neq 0$. Multiplying by $p = b_1 \cdots b_n$ we obtain an equation

$$p\,r_1 b_1 + p\,r_2 b_2 + \cdots + p\,r_n b_n = 0$$

in which the coefficients $p\,r_j \in A$. The linear independence of $\mathcal{B}$ over A implies that $p\,r_j = 0$ for all j. Since $p \neq 0$ this forces $r_j = 0$ for all j, as desired. □

7.3. We wish to apply the preceding lemma in the two situations $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Both rings $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ are integral domains with field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, but $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ is a principal ideal domain while $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ is not. When working over $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ we know that any A-submodule M of a given vector space over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ is free over A, and so any A-basis of M is also a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-basis of the given vector space. When working over $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ that freeness is in question, without further work. Until further notice we will write A to mean *either* of the rings $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ or $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$.

7.4. Fixing a finite saturated subset π of dominant weights, let ${}_A\mathbf{S} = {}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ be the A-submodule of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\pi)$ generated by the quantum divided powers $F_i^{(a)}$, $E_i^{(a)}$ (for $a \geqslant 0$, $i \in I$) along with the 1_μ (for $\mu \in W\pi$). By Lemma 7.2(a) we have an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S}) \simeq \mathbf{S}.$$

Let ${}_A\mathbf{S}^-$ be the A-subalgebra generated by the $F_i^{(a)}$ and ${}_A\mathbf{S}^+$ be the A-subalgebra generated by the $E_i^{(a)}$. Let ${}_A\mathbf{S}^0$ be the A-subalgebra generated by the 1_μ. By Lemma 7.2(a) we have isomorphisms

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S}^-) \simeq \mathbf{S}^-; \quad \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S}^+) \simeq \mathbf{S}^+; \quad \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S}^0) \simeq \mathbf{S}^0.$$

The same argument used in the proof of Lemma 1.12 now shows that the ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ has the “same” triangular decomposition

$$\text{(c)} \qquad {}_A\mathbf{S} = {}_A\mathbf{S}^- \, {}_A\mathbf{S}^0 \, {}_A\mathbf{S}^+$$

as before, in which the factors on the right hand side may be permuted in any order.

From this it follows that, whenever λ is a maximal element of π, the left ideal $({}_A\mathbf{S})1_\lambda = ({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_\lambda$ and similarly for the right ideal $1_\lambda({}_A\mathbf{S}) = 1_\lambda({}_A\mathbf{S}^+)$. Now we obtain the following.

7.5. **Proposition.** *For any cosaturated subset* Φ *of* π*, the* A*-submodule* ${}_A\mathbf{S}[\Phi] = \sum_{\mu\in\Phi}({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_\mu({}_A\mathbf{S}^+)$ *is a* $*$*-invariant two-sided ideal of* ${}_A\mathbf{S}$*, and this ideal is the kernel of the natural quotient map* ${}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi) \to {}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$ *(induced by restriction from the quotient map* $\mathbf{S}(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi)$*).*

Proof. This follows by repeating the arguments given in Sections 2.1–2.5. The only commutation identities used in those arguments are those of Lemma 1.9, which involves only elements of ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ with coefficients from A (for either ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ or $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$). ☐

7.6. If λ is maximal in π the A-module ${}_AJ = ({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_\lambda({}_A\mathbf{S}^+)$ is a two-sided ideal of ${}_A\mathbf{S}$. Observe that the multiplication map

(a) $$({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_\lambda \otimes_A 1_\lambda({}_A\mathbf{S}^+) \to {}_AJ$$

is an isomorphism of ${}_A\mathbf{S}$-bimodules. (Clearly this map is surjective; its injectivity is clear from the fact that it is the restriction of the injective map from $\mathbf{S}^-1_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} 1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$ into $J = \mathbf{S}^-1_\lambda\mathbf{S}^+$.)

If σ is the inverse of the above isomorphism and ${}_A\Delta = ({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_\lambda$ then the diagram

(b) $$\begin{tikzcd} {}_AJ \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "*"'] & ({}_A\Delta) \otimes_A ({}_A\Delta)^* \arrow[d, "x\otimes y\mapsto y^*\otimes x^*"] \\ {}_AJ \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & ({}_A\Delta) \otimes_A ({}_A\Delta)^* \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, as before, so ${}_AJ$ is a cell ideal in ${}_A\mathbf{S}$, provided that ${}_A\Delta$ is free over A. (This is of course true in case $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$.)

7.7. Now fix a flag $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of cosaturated subsets of π, put ${}_A\mathbf{S}_j = {}_A\mathbf{S}[\Phi_j]$, and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the corresponding total ordering of π (such that $\Phi_j = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j\}$ for each j). Define the idempotent u_j as in 2.9, and put ${}_A\mathbf{S}'_j = u_j({}_A\mathbf{S}^-)1_{\lambda_j}({}_A\mathbf{S}^+)u_j$ for each j. The proof of Proposition 2.10 shows that

(a) $${}_A\mathbf{S}_j = {}_A\mathbf{S}'_1 + \cdots + {}_A\mathbf{S}'_j$$

for all j. The linear independence of the subspaces $\mathbf{S}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}'_j$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ implies the linear independence of the A-submodules ${}_A\mathbf{S}'_1, \dots, {}_A\mathbf{S}'_j$ over A. Hence the sum in (a) above is direct:

(b) $${}_A\mathbf{S}_j = {}_A\mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus {}_A\mathbf{S}'_j$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. In particular, ${}_A\mathbf{S} = {}_A\mathbf{S}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus {}_A\mathbf{S}'_m$. By induction on m we now obtain the following result.

7.8. **Theorem.** *Put* $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. *The algebra* ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ *is cellular over* A*, with defining cell chain* $\{{}_A\mathbf{S}_j\}_{j=1}^m$.

Proof. Since A is a principal ideal domain and ${}_A\Delta(\lambda_1) = {}_A\mathbf{S}^- 1_{\lambda_1}$ is torsion-free, it follows that ${}_A\Delta(\lambda_1)$ is free as an A-module. (It is clearly finitely generated over A.) Then by 7.6 it follows that ${}_A\mathbf{S}_1$ is a cell ideal in ${}_A\mathbf{S}$.

By induction we may assume that the quotient ${}_A\mathbf{S}/{}_A\mathbf{S}_1 \simeq {}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi - \Phi_1)$ is cellular. It then follows easily from 7.7 that ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ is cellular, as for $j \geqslant 2$ we have that ${}_A\mathbf{S}'_j \simeq {}_A\mathbf{S}_j/{}_A\mathbf{S}_{j-1} \simeq ({}_A\mathbf{S}_j/{}_A\mathbf{S}_1)/({}_A\mathbf{S}_{j-1}/{}_A\mathbf{S}_1)$ is a cell ideal in the quotient ${}_A\mathbf{S}/{}_A\mathbf{S}_{j-1} \simeq ({}_A\mathbf{S}/{}_A\mathbf{S}_1)/({}_A\mathbf{S}_{j-1}/{}_A\mathbf{S}_1)$. $\square$

7.9. For any $\lambda \in \pi$ the cell module ${}_A\Delta(\lambda)$ may be defined as the left $\mathbf{S}$-submodule of the quotient ${}_A\mathbf{S}[\trianglerighteq\lambda]/{}_A\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$ generated by the highest weight vector $x_0 + {}_A\mathbf{S}[\triangleright\lambda]$.

We note that all the ingredients of cellularity over the smaller ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ also hold in the proof of the preceding result, *except* that we do not know a priori that ${}_A\Delta(\lambda)$ is free over A. If we can establish that freeness by some other means, then the preceding argument can be applied to prove cellularity of ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ over the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

7.10. **Remark.** In fact, the freeness of ${}_A\Delta(\lambda)$ over the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ is known. This can be seen by showing that this module is isomorphic to the A-form of the simple highest weight module of the same highest weight λ for the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}$ determined by the given root datum, and then applying the well known results of Lusztig [42, 43] and Kashiwara [37, 38] on canonical (crystal) bases. Since the purpose of this paper is to investigate the structure of Schur algebras solely in terms of their defining presentation, we choose not to pursue this here.

7.11. From now on we take $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Theorem 7.8 provides the algebra ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ with an *integral* cellular basis, defined over the ring $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$, as follows. For any $\mu \in \pi$ choose any A-basis $\{b1_\mu \colon b \in \mathcal{T}'_\mu\}$ of ${}_A\Delta(\mu) = {}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)^- 1_\mu$ in the algebra ${}_A\mathbf{S}(\pi_\mu)$. Lift the set $\mathcal{T}'_\mu$ to ${}_A\mathbf{S}$ by choosing preimages as discussed in 6.10. Then

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \bigsqcup_{\mu\in\pi} \{b' 1_\mu b^* \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}'_\mu\}$$

is a cellular basis (over A) of ${}_A\mathbf{S}$.

Since cellular structure transfers under change of base ring [27], it follows that for any field k of characteristic 0 and any chosen nonzero element $q \in k$ that the algebra $\mathbf{S}_q = k \otimes_A ({}_A\mathbf{S})$ is cellular, with cellular basis

(b) $$\textstyle\bigsqcup_{\mu\in\pi}\{1 \otimes (b' 1_\mu b^*) \colon b, b' \in \mathcal{T}'_\mu\}.$$

7.12. We continue with the assumption $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. We may now construct the simple $\mathbf{S}_q$-modules, following Graham and Lehrer. The bilinear form φ_μ on $\Delta(\mu)$ constructed in 6.5 induces by restriction a corresponding bilinear form, which we shall denote by ${}_A\varphi_\mu$, on the integral cell module ${}_A\Delta(\mu)$. Thus we obtain a bilinear form φ^q_μ on the cell module $\Delta_q(\mu) = k \otimes_A ({}_A\Delta(\mu))$. In general this form is no longer nondegenerate. However, by considering the value $\varphi^q_\mu(x_0, x_0)$ where x_0 is the generating highest weight vector, it is clear that at least the form $\varphi^q_\mu \neq 0$ for each $\mu \in \pi$.

Let $\mathrm{rad}_q(\mu)$ be the radical of the form φ^q_μ; by definition $\mathrm{rad}_q(\mu)$ is the set of x in $\Delta_q(\mu)$ such that $\varphi^q_\mu(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in \Delta_q(\mu)$. In (3.2) of [27] it is proved that $\mathrm{rad}_q(\mu)$ coincides with the unique maximal submodule of $\Delta_q(\mu)$, and thus the quotient

(a) $$L_q(\mu) := \Delta_q(\mu)/\,\mathrm{rad}_q(\mu)$$

is a simple (in fact absolutely simple) $\mathbf{S}_q$-module of highest weight μ. Combining (3.4) and (3.10) of [27] we immediately obtain the following, which is the main result of this paper.

7.13. **Theorem.** *For any field k of characteristic zero, any specialization v to a nonzero $q \in k$, and any saturated set π put $\mathbf{S}_q = \mathbf{S}_q(\pi)$. Then:*

(a) *The algebra $\mathbf{S}_q$ is quasihereditary with respect to the ordering on simple modules induced by the ordering $\trianglelefteq$ on π. The set of standard modules is $\{\Delta_q(\mu) \colon \mu \in \pi\}$.*

(b) *The set $\{L_q(\mu) \colon \mu \in \pi\}$ is a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbf{S}_q$-modules.*

Quasihereditary algebras were introduced by Cline, Parshall, and Scott [5]. They enjoy very nice homological properties; see e.g., the Appendix of [14].

We finish with the following easy application of the cellular structure of $\mathbf{S}_q$. The same result should hold in greater generality (for any q which is not a root of unity) but the proof of the more general result will require different methods.

7.14. **Corollary.** *If $0 \neq q \in k$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$ then $L_q(\mu) = \Delta_q(\mu)$ for each $\mu \in \pi$ and hence $\mathbf{S}_q$ is a semisimple algebra. In particular, in this case any finite dimensional $\mathbf{S}_q$-module is completely reducible.*

Proof. Let φ_μ be the restricted bilinear form on ${}_A\Delta(\mu)$. Given any pair x, y of basis elements the value of $\varphi_\mu(x, y)$ is an element of $A = \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Thus the determinant of φ_μ is a nonzero element $f(v)$ of $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$, and the determinant of the corresponding form φ^q_μ on $\Delta_q(\mu)$ is the element $f(q)$ of k obtained by replacing

v by q. This is a polynomial in q and q^{-1} with rational coefficients. Any such polynomial must be nonzero since q is transcendental. Hence (in this case) the form φ_μ^q is nondegenerate and $L_q(\mu) = \Delta_q(\mu)$. Since this holds for every $\mu \in \pi$, by (3.8) of [27] it follows that $\mathbf{S}_q$ is a semisimple algebra, as desired. □

8. The classical case

The definition of $\mathbf{S}(\pi)$ in 1.6 makes sense if the indeterminate v is set to 1, and defines an algebra $S(\pi)$ over the ground field $\mathbb{Q}$. This is the rational form of the generalized Schur algebras originally introduced by Donkin [11, 12, 13].

8.1. To be precise, let π be a given finite saturated subset of the poset X^+ of dominant weights. The generalized Schur algebra $S(\pi)$ associated to π is the associative algebra (with 1) over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by generators e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ and 1_λ $(\lambda \in W\pi)$ subject to the relations:

(a) $1_\lambda 1_\mu = \delta_{\lambda\mu} 1_\lambda, \qquad \sum_{\lambda \in W\pi} 1_\lambda = 1;$

(b) $e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \sum_{\lambda \in W\pi} \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\ \lambda \rangle 1_\lambda;$

(c) $e_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda+\alpha_i} e_i,\ 1_\lambda e_i = 1_{\lambda-\alpha_i} e_i,\ f_i 1_\lambda = 1_{\lambda-\alpha_i} f_i,\ 1_\lambda f_i = f_i 1_{\lambda+\alpha_i}$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in W\pi$, and $i, j \in I$ where in the right hand side of relations (c) we stipulate that $1_{\lambda\pm\alpha_i} = 0$ whenever $\lambda \pm \alpha_i \notin W\pi$.

8.2. All of our results may be applied to the algebra $S(\pi)$. This can be shown by repeating the arguments already given, under the assumption that $v = 1$ at the outset. The only differences are that quantum integers $[a]_i$ are replaced by ordinary integers a, quantum factorials $[a]_i^!$ by ordinary factorials $a!$, quantum binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i$ by ordinary binomial coefficients $\binom{a}{t}$, and E_i, F_i are replaced by the lower case symbols e_i, f_i.

In particular, it follows that the algebra $S(\pi)$ is cellular. It has an integral form ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}S(\pi)$ defined as the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra generated by all divided powers $e_i^{(a)}$, $f_i^{(a)}$ $(i \in I,\ a \geqslant 0)$ and the idempotents 1_λ $(\lambda \in W\pi)$. This is finitely generated and free over $\mathbb{Z}$, and is integral cellular. Any field k (of arbitrary characteristic) may be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by means of the natural ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to k$, and we define the k-form ${}_kS(\pi)$ to be the algebra $k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} ({}_{\mathbb{Z}}S(\pi))$. This is again cellular as a k-algebra, and is also quasihereditary.

The rational form $S(\pi)$ is semisimple, with the cell modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \pi$ comprising a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple modules. There is an integral form ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Delta(\lambda)$ of $\Delta(\lambda)$ defined by applying divided powers of the f_i to a highest weight vector, and the cell modules ${}_k\Delta(\lambda)$ for ${}_kS(\pi)$ are defined by ${}_k\Delta(\lambda) = k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} ({}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Delta(\lambda))$. The ${}_k\Delta(\lambda)$ are in general still highest weight modules but they are not always simple anymore, although they do have a unique simple quotient module ${}_kL(\lambda)$. The set of all ${}_kL(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \pi$ provide a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple ${}_kS(\pi)$-modules.

References

[1] A.A. Beĭlinson, G. Lusztig, R. MacPherson, A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n, *Duke Math. J.* 61 (1990), 655–677.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra* I, Chapters 1–3 (Translated from the French); Reprint of the 1989 English translation; "Elements of Mathematics" (Berlin); Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[3] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston 1997.

[4] G. Cliff and A. Stokke, Codeterminants and q-Schur algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 13 (2010), 43–60.

[5] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 391 (1988), 85–99.

[6] A. Cox, The blocks of the q-Schur algebra, *J. Algebra* 207 (1998), 306–325.

[7] B. Deng, Jie Du, B. Parshall, and J.–P. Wang, *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[8] R. Dipper and G.D. James, The q-Schur algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 59 (1989), 23–50.

[9] R. Dipper and G.D. James, q-tensor space and q-Weyl modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 327 (1991), 251–282.

[10] V. Dlab and C.M. Ringel, The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* 168 (1992), 200–224.

[11] S. Donkin, On Schur algebras and related algebras I, *J. Algebra* 104 (1986), 310–328.

[12] S. Donkin, On Schur algebras and related algebras II, *J. Algebra* 111 (1987), 354–364.

[13] S. Donkin, On Schur algebras and related algebras III: integral representations, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 116 (1994), 37–55.

[14] S. Donkin, *The q-Schur algebra*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 253, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[15] S. Doty, Presenting generalized q-Schur algebras, *Represent. Theory* 7 (2003), 196–213 (electronic).

[16] S. Doty and A. Giaquinto, Generators and relations for Schur algebras, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (2001), 54–62 (electronic).

[17] S. Doty and A. Giaquinto, Presenting Schur algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 2002, no. 36, 1907–1944.

[18] S. Doty, A. Giaquinto, and J. Sullivan, Presenting generalized Schur algebras in types B, C, D, *Adv. Math.* 206 (2006), 434–454.

[19] S. Doty, A. Giaquinto, and J. Sullivan, On the defining relations for generalized q-Schur algebras, *Adv. Math.* 221 (2009), 955–982.

[20] Jie Du, The modular representation theory of q-Schur algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 329 (1992), 253–271.

[21] Jie Du, The modular representation theory of q-Schur algebras, II, *Math. Z.* 208 (1991), 503–536.

[22] Jie Du, Kazhdan–Lusztig bases and isomorphism theorems for q-Schur algebras; in *Kazhdan–Lusztig theory and related topics* (Chicago, IL, 1989), 121–140, Contemp. Math. 139, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[23] Jie Du, q-Schur algebras, asymptotic forms, and quantum SL_n. *J. Algebra* 177 (1995), 385–408.

[24] Jie Du and B. Parshall, Monomial bases for q-Schur algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 1593–1620 (electronic).

[25] Jie Du, B. Parshall and J.–P. Wang, Two-parameter quantum linear groups and the hyperbolic invariance of q-Schur algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 44 (1991), 420–436.

[26] Jie Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Cells and q-Schur algebras, *Transform. Groups* 3 (1998), 33–49.

[27] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996), 1–34.

[28] J.A. Green, *Polynomial representations of* GL_n, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 830, Springer–Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1980.

[29] J.A. Green, Combinatorics and the Schur algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 88 (1993), 89–106.

[30] R.M. Green, A straightening formula for quantized codeterminants, *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996), 2887–2913.

[31] R.M. Green, q-Schur algebras as quotients of quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Algebra* 185 (1996), 660–687.

[32] B. Parshall and J.–P. Wang, *Quantum linear groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1991), no. 439.

[33] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer 1972.

[34] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, American Math. Soc. 1996.

[35] D. Janzen, S. Phillips, and A. Stokke, A combinatorial description of the quantum Désarménien matrix via the q-Schur algebra, *J. Algebra* 304 (2006), 906–926.

[36] M. Jimbo, A q-analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebras, and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 11 (1986), 247–252.

[37] M. Kashiwara, Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 133 (1990), 249–260.

[38] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.* 63 (1991), 465–516.

[39] M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, J.–U. H. Petersen, C.M. Yung, Perfect crystals and q-deformed Fock spaces, *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) 2 (1996), 415–499.

[40] S. König and C. Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras, *Algebras and modules, II* (Geiranger, 1996), 365–386, CMS Conf. Proc., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[41] S. König and C. Xi, Cellular algebras and quasi-hereditary algebras: a comparison, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5 (1999), 71–75 (electronic).

[42] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (1990), 447–498.

[43] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, II; *Common trends in mathematics and quantum field theories* (Kyoto, 1990); Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 102 (1990), 175–201 (1991).

[44] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser Boston 1993.

[45] S. Martin, *Schur algebras and representation theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 112, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[46] A. Mathas, *Iwahori–Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group*, University Lecture Series 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[47] K. McGerty, Generalized q-Schur algebras and quantum Frobenius, *Adv. Math.* 214 (2007), 116–131.

[48] D.J. Woodcock, Straightening codeterminants, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 88 (1993), 317–320.

Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60626 U.S.A.
E-mail address: `doty@math.luc.edu, tonyg@math.luc.edu`